

Research Article Open Access

Available online at http://jfrm.ru/en https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2023-2-573 https://elibrary.ru/PDBKFB

Artemisia jacutica Drob. essential oil as a source of chamazulene: primary introduction and component analysis

Elena P. Dylenova^{1,*}, Svetlana V. Zhigzhitzhapova¹, Danaya B. Goncharova¹, Zhargal A. Tykheev¹, Daba G. Chimitov², Larisa D. Radnaeva^{1,3}

¹ Baikal Institute of Nature Management of the Siberian branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences^{ROR}, Ulan-Ude, Russia ² Institute of General and Experimental Biology of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences^{ROR}, Ulan-Ude, Russia ³ Banzarov Buryat State University, Ulan-Ude, Russia

* e-mail: edylenova@mail.ru

Received 06.09.2022; Revised 29.11.2022; Accepted 06.12.2022; Published online 14.04.2023

Abstract:

Artemisia jacutica Drob. is a valuable source of chamazulene, which has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. We experimentally introduced this plant in the climatic conditions of Buryatia and compared the compositions of the essential oils produced from both cultivated and wild plants.

The reserves of *A. jacutica* and the laboratory/field germination of seeds were assessed by standard methods. Macro- and microscopic features were determined in line with general pharmacopoeia monographs. The composition of the essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation was analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. The resulting data were processed by the principal component method. The antiradical activity was measured by the DPPH test.

The reserves of *A. jacutica* were determined in the Yeravninsky district of Buryatia. The laboratory germination of *A. jacutica* seeds was $75.00 \pm 5.35\%$, while the field germination was only 11-23%. Planting with seedlings showed a good survival rate of 67-80%. In the first year of cultivation, *A. jacutica* plants had similar macro- and microscopic features to those of wild plants. The soils from the experimental plots were superior to the soils of *A. jacutica*'s natural habitat in terms of fertility. The essential oils from cultivated and wild plants contained 51 components. The content of chamazulene, the dominant component, was 59.22-66.60% in the cultivated plants and only 15.98-47.77% in the wild plants. The essential oil of *A. jacutica* exhibited high antiradical activity (IC₅₀ = $49.47 \mu L/mL$).

The primary introduction of *A. jacutica* showed good prospects for its cultivation in Buryatia. The macro- and microscopic features and dominant components found in the essential oil of *A. jacutica* grown on the experimental plots were similar to those found in the wild plants. Two chemotypes of *A. jacutica*, Yakutian and Buryatian, were identified according to the oil composition, with the chemotypes preserved in the cultivated plants. The oil's high antiradical activity and a high content of chamazulene make *A. jacutica* a valuable material for the cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and agricultural industries.

Keywords: Artemisia jacutica Drob., cultivation, laboratory and field germination, essential oil, chamazulene, antiradical activity, chemotype

Funding: Our study was conducted within the state assignment given to the Baikal Institute of Nature Management of the Siberian branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (BINM SB RAS)^{ROR} and the Institute of General and Experimental Biology of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (IGEB SB RAS)^{ROR} in line with the work of the Baikal Interregional Scientific and Educational Center using the facilities of the Research Equipment Sharing Center of the BINM SB RAS^{ROR}.

Please cite this article in press as: Dylenova EP, Zhigzhitzhapova SV, Goncharova DB, Tykheev ZA, Chimitov DG, Radnaeva LD. *Artemisia jacutica* Drob. essential oil as a source of chamazulene: primary introduction and component analysis. Foods and Raw Materials. 2023;11(2):243–250. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2023-2-573

INTRODUCTION

Traditional healing systems (Chinese, Tibetan, Japanese, etc.) have been in the focus of international science in recent years. These systems are based on the use of natural bioactive substances, primarily of plant origin. Scientific advances in this area highlight the need for using secondary metabolites to create effective drugs [1–4]. Russia's Pharma-2030 Program aims to increase the market of domestic innovative pharmaceutical products, including those based

Copyright © 2023, Dylenova *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

on natural compounds. In addition, the National Technological Initiative's Healthnet Roadmap intends to involve more regions in cultivating medicinal plants and creating a whole medicinal plant sector.

The Republic of Buryatia is part of the Baikal unique ecosystem with rare and endemic plant species. Among them are the medicinal plants of the *Artemisia* L. (*Asteraceae* family) genus used as ethnopharmacological poly-target agents. For example, the antimalarial drug Artemisinin, which is based on *Artemisia annua* L., exhibits antiparasitic, antitumorous, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antiangiogenic, and immunomodulatory effects. Another example is the anticancer drug Arglabin based on *Artemisia glabella* L. [5].

The aromatic and pharmacological properties of Artemisia plants are largely due to their essential oils based on mono- and sesquiterpenoids. Multiple components of plant essential oils determine their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. For example, the essential oil of Matricaria chamomilla L. contains chamazulene with anti-inflammatory action. In addition, chamazulene or chamazulene-containing oils have an apoptotic effect on the A375 human malignant melanoma cells, as well as strong antioxidant properties and a photoprotective effect [6-8]. Other sources of chamazulene-containing essential oils are the plants that are systematically close to the pharmacopoeial species Artemisia absinthium L., including Artemisia sieversiana L., Artemisia jacutica Drob., Artemisia macrocephala L., and others. Among these species only A. sieversiana and A. jacutica grow within the territory of Buryatia, the latter having the highest content of chamazulene [9].

A. jacutica Drob. is an East Siberian endemic used in Yakut traditional medicine to treat gastrointestinal diseases. In addition, this species has selective antifungal activity and is used for helminthic invasions in cattle. Previously, the essential oil of *A. jacutica* was shown to exhibit a wound-healing effect on the napalm-caused burn. *A. jacutica* was first introduced in the Yakutsk Botanical Garden. From 1991 to 1998, scientists studied the agrotechnical methods of growing *A. jacutica* in the Siberian Botanical Garden [10]. Kucharova *et al.* were the first to obtain strains of *A. jacutica* callus cells with stable growth parameters *in vitro* [11].

We aimed to assess a possibility of introducing *A. jacutica* in the natural and climatic conditions of Buryatia and to compare the essential oil of cultivated plants with the oil of wild plants.

STUDY OBJECTS AND METHODS

We studied the aerial parts and seeds of *Artemisia jacutica* Drob. collected in the flowering and fruiting phases, respectively, in Yeravninsky district of Buryatia in 2018–2019. The voucher samples are kept in the herbarium of the Institute of General and Experimental Biology (*A. jacutica* – UUH019308).

The yield was determined on specific thickets by the quadrat method. Fifteen plots of 1 m^2 were evenly distributed over the thickets. The area of the thickets was calculated in m². The method's error did not exceed 15% [12].

To determine the germination of *A. jacutica* seeds in the laboratory conditions, we used four samples of 100 seeds. The seeds were spread evenly on moistened filter paper in Petri dishes and germinated at 29-30 °C. The filter paper was checked for moisture on a daily basis and, if necessary, wetted with water at room temperature to prevent overwetting. The lids of the Petri dishes were opened for several minutes every day for ventilation. The samples were protected from direct sunlight during seed germination. The germinated seeds were counted during 12 days. The seeds with primary leaves were classified as germinated, whereas hard seeds that had not swelled or changed in appearance were classified as non-germinated.

The macro- and microscopic features of raw materials were determined by standard methods in line with the General Pharmacopoeia Monographs (General Pharmacopoeia Monograph.1.5.3.0003.15 and General Pharmacopoeia Monograph.1.5.1.0002.15).

The essential oil was obtained from *A. jacutica*'s aerial parts by hydrodistillation according to the GPhA (General Pharmacopoeia Monograph.1.5.3.0010.15) using a modified Clevenger nozzle. The oil's components were determined by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) on an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped withan HP 5973N mass selective detector (Hewlett-Packard, USA) and an HP-5MS capillary column (30 m×0.25 mm×0.2 µm; Hewlett-Packard) [13].

For visualization, the data on the oil's composition were processed by the principal component method (PCM analysis, Sirius version 6.0, Pattern Recognition Systems, a/s, Norway).

The antiradical activity of the essential oil was determined by the DPPH test (using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl). For this, a solution of DPPH (0.006% in 95% ethanol) was added to *A. jacutica* oil (3.9–31.25 μ L/mL in ethanol) and incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The antiradical activity (% inhibition) was measured spectrophotometrically on a ClarioStar Plus multimodal plate reader at 517 nm and calculated as:

% inhibition of DPPH-radicals = $(A_0 - A_1)/A_0 \times 100$ (1)

where A_0 is the absorbance in the control and A_1 is the absorbance of the samples [14, 15].

The IC_{50} index was determined by regression analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Determination of *Artemisia jacutica* **Drob. reserves.** The reserves of *A. jacutica* were determined in the vicinity of Shiringa village (Yeravninsky district, Buryatia) in 2019. The plant's recovery period is 2 years.

Table 1 Laboratory and field germination (survivability)

 of Artemisia jacutica

 Drob. seeds

Laboratory germination of seeds							
Sample No.	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4			
Number of germinated	71	76	79	74			
seeds in 12 days, pcs.							
Field germination (survivability) of seeds							
Experimental plot No.	No. 1		No. 2				
Seed sowing, %	23		11				
Seedling planting, %	80		67				

A thicket of *A. jacutica* occupies a small area of only 500 m². According to standard estimations, the average mass of *A. jacutica* collected from one plot was 26.38 g, the dispersion of the result was 209.01 g, and the standard deviation was 3.77 g. Thus, the yield of *A. jacutica* was estimated as 26.38 ± 3.77 g/m², its biological reserve was 16.92 kg, the operational reserve was 9.46 kg, with a possible annual harvest of 3.15 kg.

Thus, we proposed to introduce *A. jacutica* into the culture taking into account its limited potential reserves in Buryatia and high medicinal value as a source of bioactive compounds.

Laboratory and field germination. At the first stage, we determined the germination of *A. jacutica* seeds in the laboratory. The seeds were obtained from wild intact plants collected in the fruiting phase in 2018–2019. The oblong, dark brown achenes were 1.0-1.2 mm long, 0.4-0.5 mm wide, and covered with a golden film. The seeds began to germinate in 2–4 days. The primary introduction of *A. jacutica* was carried out on two experimental plots: in Oreshkovo settlement, the Republican Ecological and Biological Center (plot No. 1) and in Sotnikovo settlement (plot No. 2), the Republic of Buryatia (Table 1).

As can be seen, the laboratory germination of *A. jacutica* seeds was $75.00 \pm 5.35\%$, with a standard deviation of 8% [12]. Our results were consistent with the previous studies [16, 17], where this indicator amounted to 70-100% without primary dormancy. The authors classified *A. jacutica* seeds as slow germination seeds with a maximum number of germinated seeds at the beginning of germination.

Since we did not use fertilizers or artificial irrigation on the experimental plots, the differences between the cultivated and the natural plants were associated with soils. The plots had a chestnut soil type. Nothing was grown on them before the experiments and no chemicals (pesticides) were used. The plots were periodically plowed up to get rid of weeds. The main agrochemical indicators of soil fertility were analyzed by standard methods. The reaction of the soil solution was determined in an aqueous extract. The soil samples were analyzed for carbon and humus contents by the Tyurin spectrophotometric method (The Standard Operating Procedure for Soil Organic Carbon. The Tyurin spectrophotometric method), for total nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method (General Pharmacopoeia Monograph.1.2.3.0011.15), for mobile phosphorus and potassium by the Chirikov method (State Standard 26204-91), and for exchangeable calcium and magnesium by the complexometric method (trilon method) (State Standard 26487-85). The results are presented in Table 2.

The acidity of the soils from Buryatia's Yeravninsky district (natural habitat of *A. jacutica*) and from the experimental plots was close to neutral. However, the pH of the water extract of the experimental soil was in the alkaline region of 7.3–7.6, compared to 6.5 for the soil from the natural habitat. However, the soils from the experimental plots were superior to the soils from the natural habitat in the main indicators of fertility.

As we know, soil formation is largely determined by organic matter. The activity of plants, animals, and microorganisms leads to the accumulation of organic carbon in the form of humus. The processes of humus formation and accumulation are significantly affected by climatic conditions. Humic substances contribute to an optimal soil structure for plants and are an important reserve of ash elements. Thus, they determine a number of soil's physical and chemical characteristics. Soil organic matter contains nitrogen. The accumulation of nitrogen, along with carbon, is part of soil formation determined by the cycle of matter. Organic nitrogen is taken as total nitrogen in the soil since mineral nitrogen is found in insignificant amounts. Our analysis showed that plot No. 1 had the most fertile soil rich in easily digestible nutrients. Plot No. 2 was only superior in the content of mobile phosphorus P_2O_5 .

A. jacutica was planted with seeds and seedlings (Table 1). The seeds were sowed in early June in strips of 100 seeds. Shoots appeared in mid-June. The seedlings

Table 2 Agrochemical indicators of soils from the experimental plots and places of Artemisia jacutica Drob. natural growth

Sample	Plot No. 1	Plot No. 2	Shiringa village, Yeravninsky district
pH of water extract	7.3	7.6	6.5
Total carbon, %	1.58 ± 0.05	1.36 ± 0.05	0.58 ± 0.03
Total humus, %	2.72 ± 0.09	2.35 ± 0.08	0.99 ± 0.04
Total nitrogen, %	0.140 ± 0.006	0.120 ± 0.003	0.040 ± 0.001
Mobile phosphorus P_2O_5 , mg/kg	58.03 ± 2.88	76.10 ± 2.37	9.73 ± 0.52
Mobile potassium K ₂ O, mg/kg	525.7 ± 24.9	244.3 ± 12.7	120.8 ± 5.2
Exchange cation Ca ²⁺ , mgEq/100 g	22.67 ± 1.03	15.60 ± 0.66	5.89 ± 0.28
Exchange cation Mg ²⁺ , mgEq/100 g	3.97 ± 0.14	3.90 ± 0.25	1.60 ± 0.11

(208 plants) were planted in rows (10 plants per 1 m^2) with a 50 cm distance between them.

The laboratory germination of *A. jacutica* seeds reached $75.00 \pm 5.35\%$, while the field germination on both plots was very low, ranging from 11 to 23%. Further planting with seedlings showed a good survival rate: 80% on plot No. 1 and 67% on plot No. 2. The highest germination of seeds and the best survival of seedlings was observed in the plot with more fertile and less alkaline soil (pH 7.3). Thus, planting with seedlings is the best way to cultivate *A. jacutica* under the natural and climatic conditions of Buryatia.

Macro- and microscopic features of A. jacutica. Macro- and microscopic features were determined for both the wild and the cultivated plants of A. jacutica. We found that the morphological features of the plants did not change during cultivation, so the cultivated plants had most macro- and microscopic features similar to those of the wild plants. A. jacutica stems were under 25 cm long and had whole or leafy tops. Some plants had ribbed stems, simple or branched. Basal and middle stem leaves had long petioles, half the length of the leaf blade, with simple or pinnate ears at the base. The leaves had narrowly linear, or almost filiform, pointed terminal lobes. Dense hairs gave them a gray felt color. The stems were grayish-brown or greenish-gray, and leaves were grayish-green. The plants had a strong, peculiar smell. The water extract had a spicy and bitter taste (Fig. 1).

The microscopic analysis of the leaf revealed slightly sinuous cells of the upper epidermis and strongly sinuous cells of the lower epidermis. Both the upper and the lower epidermis had an anomocytic stomatal apparatus. The hairs were of two types: T-shaped and capitate. The leaves were densely covered with T-shaped thin-walled hairs, consisting of a multicellular stalk and a long transverse cell with narrowed ends. There were also some capitate hairs consisting of a unicellular stalk and a multicellular oblong head. Numerous essential oil glands consisted of 6–8 excretory cells arranged in 2 rows and 3–4 tiers. Above were glands with a septum, covered with a cuticle (Fig. 2).



Figure 1 Artemisia jacutica Drob. on experimental plot No. 1



Figure 2 Microscopy of the leaf epidermis: 1 – epidermal cells; 2 – stomata; 3 – essential oil gland; 4 – T-shaped hair; and 5 – capitate hair

A. jacutica essential oil composition. Essential oils were isolated from the aerial parts of the cultivated and wild plants of *A. jacutica* collected in late July of the same year. They were dark blue liquids with a characteristic odor. The oil yield was determined in terms of air-dry raw material. From the cultivated plants (aerial parts), the yield was 0.6 and 0.5% from plot No. 1 and plot No. 2, respectively. From the wild plants, the oil yield was 0.9, 1.4, and 0.7% in the budding, flowering, and fruiting phases, respectively. The composition of essential oils was studied by GC-MS (Table 3).

Table 3 The composition of the essential oils from wild and cultivated Artemisia jacutica Drob. plants

Component	Retention index		Wild plants			Cultivated plants	
		Budding	Flowering	Fruiting	Plot No. 1	Plot No. 2	
	I	Acyclic monote	rpenoids				
β-myrcene	991	0.32	0.18	_	0.98	0.32	
geranyl butanoate	1456	_	_	6.37	_	_	
	Mo	onocyclic mono	terpenoids				
α-phelandrene	1004	0.35	0.03	_	0.44	_	
α-terpinene	1010	0.27	_	_	0.13	_	
p-cymol	1024	0.41	0.11	_	0.26	_	
y-terpinene	1058	0.58	0.18	_	0.37	0.07	
terpineol-4	1177	1.14	0.59	0.58	0.36	0.07	
a-terpineol	1191	0.89	0.63	0.70	0.40	0.12	
β-ionone	1488	_	_	_	0.31	0.22	

Continuation of Table 3

BudgeFlow oneFlow onePortionePortone	Component	Retention index	Wild plants		Cultivated plants		
Bisychic monsterpenoids ser a-pinene 932 0.34 0.11 - 0.38 - a-pinene 973 0.19 0.05 - 0.18 - a-pinene 975 - 0.03 - 0.08 - 2-karen 1000 - 0.12 - - 0.06 1.21 1-Serinolo 1031 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 1-serinolo 1031 4.97 0.53 - - - 0.63 1.61 0.23 ineryliobutanoate 1492 0.11 -			Budding	Flowering	Fruiting	Plot No. 1	Plot No. 2
3-hbosene 926 0.18 0.44 − 0.18 − spinene 973 0.19 0.05 − 0.18 − β-pinene 975 − 0.05 − 0.08 − β-pinene 975 − 0.05 − 0.08 − L3-cincole 1031 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 L3-cincole 1031 4.97 2.05 1.17 − − Branseene 1455 0.38 − 0.63 1.61 0.23 neryl-shothubtanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 neryl-shothybtanoate 1604 1.49 − − − − 1.63 5.80 geranyl-2-methybtanoate 1657 4.33 − 0.40 1.78 − 0.20 nerylpentunoate 1636 − 6.92 4.30 − 1.74 2.70 n		B	cyclic monoter	rpenoids			
apinene 932 0.34 0.11 - 0.34 0.06 βpinene 973 - 0.05 - 0.18 - βpinene 973 - 0.05 - 0.08 - 2-karen 1000 - 0.12 - 0.06 1.21 Levinele 1031 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 Ixeanduly actatre 1292 - - 1.17 - - g-farmesene 1458 0.38 - 0.63 1.61 0.23 arcyl-3-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 - 4.40 5.80 geranyl-3-methylbutanoate 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - - 0.65 lavanduly hexanate 1657 4.33 - - 1.74 2.70 nerylpertunoate 1631 - 0.05 - 2.60 2.49 0.83 gerang/-3-methylbutanoate <	3-thuyene	926	0.18	0.04	_	0.18	_
sabianen 973 0.19 0.05 − 0.18 − 2-karen 1000 0.12 − 0.06 − 2-karen 1001 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 Lascincole 1031 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 Acyclic sequiterpernids Acyclic sequiterpernids 1.17 − − − Paranesene 1458 0.38 − 0.63 1.61 0.23 neryl-2-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 1.045 4.71 3.42 acfarnesene 1496 − − − − − geranyl-2-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 − − − 1.04 1.01 nerylbarnet 1.02 0.05 1.33 − 0.80 1.01 nerylbarnet 1.02 0.00 nerylbarnet 1.24 2.33 0.25 − 2.60 1.24 2.39 0.20 ner	<i>α</i> -pinene	932	0.34	0.11	_	0.34	0.06
<i>β</i> -pinene 975 – 0.05 – 0.06 – 0.06 1.8-cincole 1031 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 <i>Acyclic sequiterpenoids</i> <i>Iavanduly</i>] acetate 1292 – 1.17 – − <i>β</i> -famesene 1488 0.38 – 0.63 1.61 0.23 neryisobatanate 1492 – 0.11 – − <i>a</i> -famesene 1496 – − <i>a</i> -famesene 1496 – 0.1 – 0.53 – neryi-2-methylbutanote 1579 7.59 3.64 0.045 4.71 3.42 neryi-2-methylbutanote 1585 13.12 0.69 – 4.40 5.80 geranyl-3-methylbutanote 1610 3.08 2.08 – 0.80 1.01 neryi-penthylbutanote 1610 3.08 2.08 – 0.80 1.01 neryipentanote 1657 4.33 – – 1.74 2.70 neryih-sensibylbutanote 1658 13.12 0.69 – 4.40 5.80 geranyl-3-methylbutanote 1664 1.49 – – – – – – <i>a</i> - geranyl-3-methylbutanote 1657 4.33 – – 1.74 2.70 neryihexmote 1657 4.33 – – 1.74 2.70 neryihexmote 1657 4.33 – – 2.60 1.24 geranarce 1657 4.33 – 2 – 0.20 <i>Monecyclic sequiterpenoids</i> <i>y</i> -curcumene 1482 2.33 0.25 – 2.60 1.24 geranarcene D 1484 1.30 – 2.66 2.49 0.83 <i>β</i> -curcumene 1513 0.05 <i>elemol</i> 1553 0.02 <i>elemol</i> 1550 0.02 <i>elemol</i> 1550 0.02 <i>elemol</i> 1550 0.02 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 1.14 0.37 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.45 <i>elemol</i> 1.456 - 0.06 <i>e</i> – 1.48 <i>elemol</i> 0.155 0.14 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.458 0.20 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 1.14 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.458 0.20 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 1.14 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.57 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 <i>elemol</i> 1.56 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.57 <i>elemol</i> 1.57 <i>elemol</i> 1.57 <i>elemol</i> 1.58 <i>elemol</i> 1.58 <i>elemol</i> 1.58 <i>elemol</i> 1.59 <i>elemol</i> 1.59 <i>elemol</i> 1.59 <i>elemol</i> 1.59 <i>elemol</i> 1.50 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i> 1.55 <i>elemol</i>	sabinene	973	0.19	0.05		0.18	
2-karen 100 – 0.12 – – 0.26 1.19 Keyclic sesquiterpenoids Acyclic sesqu	β -pinene	975	-	0.05	-	0.08	_
I.8-cincole 101 4.97 2.05 1.19 2.66 1.21 Acyclic sesquiterpenoids Acyclic sesquiterpenoids $ -$	2-karen	1000	_	0.12	_	_	0.06
Acyclic sesquiterpenoids Javanduly acette 1292 - 1.17 - - β -famesene 1498 0.38 - 0.63 1.61 0.23 nerylisobutanoate 1492 - 0.11 - - - acfamesene 1496 - - - 0.35 - acryl-2-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 areryl-2-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 - 0.80 1.01 acyl-2-methylbutanoate 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - 0.65 aravadulyl hexanoate 1657 4.33 - - 0.20 1.74 2.70 mareyl-2-methylbutanoate 1636 - 0.65 1.43 - - - 0.20 Manceylic sesquiterpenoids - 1.74 2.70 - - - - - - - - - -	1.8-cineole	1031	4.97	2.05	1.19	2.66	1.21
lavaadujl acetate 1292 - - 1.17 - - β-famesene 1458 0.38 - 0.63 1.61 0.23 nerylisobutanoate 1492 - 0.11 - - 0.35 a-farmesene 1496 - - 0.35 - neryl-3-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 - 4.40 5.80 geranyl-3-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 - - - - - lavandujl hexanote 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - 0.60 1.01 nerylhexanote 1637 4.33 - - 0.20 1.74 2.70 merylhexanote 1637 3.3 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β-eurcumen		A	cyclic sesquiter	rpenoids			
β-famesene 1458 0.38 – 0.63 1.61 0.23 merylisobutanoate 1492 – 0.11 – – – – – α-famesene 1496 – – – – 0.35 – – meryl-2-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 meryl-2-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 – 4.40 5.80 granyl-2-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 – – – . 4.40 s.80 1.01 meryl-2-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 – 0.80 1.01 meryl-pertanoate 1636 – 6.92 14.30 – 0.65 lavandulyl hexanoate 1657 4.33 – – 1.74 2.70 merylhexanoate 1657 4.33 – – . 0.20 merylhexanoate 1657 4.33 – – . 0.20 merylhexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 – – 0.20 Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids 	lavandulyl acetate	1292	_	_	1.17	_	
nerylisobuanoate 1492 – 0.11 − – − − a-farnesene 1496 – − – – 0.35 – – neryl-2-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 neryl-3-methylbutanoate 1687 13.12 0.69 – 4.40 5.80 granyl-3-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 – – – – – – granyl-3-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 – 0.80 1.01 nerylpentanoate 1636 – 6.92 14.30 – 0.65 lawandulyl hexanoate 1637 4.33 – – – 1.74 2.70 nerylhexanoate 1637 4.33 – – – 0.20 	β -farnesene	1458	0.38	_	0.63	1.61	0.23
a-farmesene 1496 – – – – 0.35 – meryl-2-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 neryl-2-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 – 4.40 5.80 geranyl-2-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 – – – – – – – geranyl-3-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 – 0.80 1.01 nerylpentanoate 1636 – 6.92 14.30 – 0.65 lavandulyl hexanoate 1657 4.33 – – – 1.74 2.70 nerylpentanoate 1657 4.33 – – – 0.20 merylpentanoate 1577 4.33 0.25 – 2.60 1.24 geranger-16 1482 2.33 0.25 – 2.60 1.24 geranger-16 1482 2.33 0.25 – 2.60 1.24 geranger-16 1482 2.33 0.25 – 2.60 1.24 geranger-16 1482 1.30 – 2.06 2.49 0.83 β-curcumene 1513 – 0.05 – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	nerylisobutanoate	1492	_	0.11	_	_	_
neryl-3-methylbutanoate 1579 7.59 3.64 10.45 4.71 3.42 neryl-3-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 - 4.40 5.80 geramyl-3-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 geramyl-3-methylbutanoate 1616 3.08 2.08 - 0.0.80 1.01 nerylpentanoate 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - 0.65 lavanduly hexanoate 1657 4.33 1.74 2.70 nerylhexanoate 1657 4.33 0.2 - 1.74 2.70 nerylhexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 0.20 	α-farnesene	1496	_	_	_	0.35	_
nery1-3-methylbutanoate 1585 13.12 0.69 - 44.0 5.80 gramy1-3-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49	neryl-2-methylbutanoate	1579	7.59	3.64	10.45	4.71	3.42
geranyl-2-methylbutanoate 1604 1.49 - - - - - geranyl-3-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 - 0.60 1.01 lavandulyl hexanoate 1637 4.33 - - 1.74 2.70 nerylpextanoate 1657 4.33 - - 0.65 nerylpextanoate 1671 0.40 1.78 - 0.20 merylpextanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 - 2.06 1.24 germarene D 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germarene D 1484 1.30 - 0.05 - - - 6-curcumen 1513 - 0.89 - - - - - 6-sabolo1 1688 - - 1.84 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>neryl-3-methylbutanoate</td> <td>1585</td> <td>13.12</td> <td>0.69</td> <td>_</td> <td>4.40</td> <td>5.80</td>	neryl-3-methylbutanoate	1585	13.12	0.69	_	4.40	5.80
geranyl-s-methylbutanoate 1610 3.08 2.08 - 0.80 1.01 nerylpentanoate 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - 0.65 lavandulyl hexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 - - 0.20 reurdumene 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β-curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - - 3.060 - - - - - - - - 1.84 -	geranyl-2-methylbutanoate	1604	1.49	_	_	_	_
nerylpentanoate 1636 - 6.92 14.30 - 0.65 lavandulyl hexanoate 1657 4.33 - - 1.74 2.70 nerylhexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 - - 0.20 p-urcumene 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 gernacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β-curcumene 1513 - 0.05 - - - gernacrene D 1688 - - 1.84 - - α-bragabolol 1688 - - 1.84 0.29 - carbaphyllene 1416 - - 1.27 - - β-guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - β-guayene 1449 0.661 - - - - β-guayene 1449 0.28 0.15 1.14 0	geranyl-3-methylbutanoate	1610	3.08	2.08	_	0.80	1.01
lavandulyl hexanoate 1657 4.33 - - 1.74 2.70 nerylbexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 - - 0.20 merylbexanoate 121 0.40 1.78 - - 0.20 'curcumene 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β -curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - a-bisobol 1688 - - 1.84 - - - a-bergamotene 1416 - - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1469 - 0.61 - - - selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08	nerylpentanoate	1636	_	6.92	14.30	—	0.65
nerylhexanoate 1721 0.40 1.78 - - 0.20 Wonccyclic sesquiterpenoids - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β-curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a-bergamotene 1416 - - - 0.29 - a-bergamotene 1426 - 0.06 - - - p-giuayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.061 - - - oepi-acryophyllene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadima-4,11-diene, cis- 150 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20	lavandulyl hexanoate	1657	4.33	_	_	1.74	2.70
Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids y-curcumene 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β -curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - elemol 1553 - 0.89 - - - a -bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a -bergamotene 1416 - - 0.29 - caryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - selina-4.11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.67 0.93 selina-4.11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15	nerylhexanoate	1721	0.40	1.78	_	_	0.20
y-curcumene 1482 2.33 0.25 - 2.60 1.24 germacrene D 1484 1.30 - 2.06 2.49 0.83 β -curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a-bergamotene 1416 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1455 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene, cis 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 -		Mor	nocyclic sesqui	terpenoids			
germacrene D14841.30-2.062.490.83 β -curcumen1513-0.05elemol1553-0.89 α -bisabolol16881.84 α -bergamotene14160.29-caryophyllene14221.171.480.20 β -guayene14411.27humulene1456-0.069-epi-caryophyllene1469-0.61dehydrosesquicineol14711.890.400.631.670.93selina-4,11-diene14881.540.961.561.140.37cadina-4,11-diene1530bicyclogermacrene15000.280.15-0.520.203/6-dihydrochamazulene15300.761.55A-amorphous1553-0.12-0.31-y-eudesmol16339.0725.3931.663.220.91amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644-0.70-1.261.841.86 β -cuben13921.841.86 β -cuben1392-0.31 <td>γ-curcumene</td> <td>1482</td> <td>2.33</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>_</td> <td>2.60</td> <td>1.24</td>	γ-curcumene	1482	2.33	0.25	_	2.60	1.24
β -curcumen 1513 - 0.05 - - - elemol 1553 - 0.89 - - - a -bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - a -bergamotene 1416 - - 0.29 - caryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1455 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 adifas-4,11-diene, cis- 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3.6-dihydrochamazulene 1503 - 0.12 - 0.31 - y-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 <td>germacrene D</td> <td>1484</td> <td>1.30</td> <td>_</td> <td>2.06</td> <td>2.49</td> <td>0.83</td>	germacrene D	1484	1.30	_	2.06	2.49	0.83
elemol 1553 - 0.89 - - - <i>a</i> -bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - <i>B</i> icyclic sesquiterpenoids - - 1.84 - - <i>a</i> -bergamotene 1416 - - 1.84 0.20 - <i>β</i> -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.061 - - - e-pi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicincol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.76 1.55 Δ -amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 -	β-curcumen	1513	_	0.05	_	_	_
a-bisabolol 1688 - - 1.84 - - Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids - - - 0.29 - a-bergamotene 1416 - - - 0.29 - acryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - -amorphous 1553 - 0.12 - 0.31 - -p-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 - 1.8	elemol	1553	_	0.89	_	_	_
Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids α -bergamotene 1416 - - 0.29 - caryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3.6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.31 - y-cudsmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - 1.26 - chamazulene 1730 41.17	a-bisabolol	1688	_	_	1.84	_	_
a -bergamotene 1416 - - 0.29 - caryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - - b-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.31 - - y-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 1.26 - <t< td=""><td></td><td>Bi</td><td>cyclic sesquite</td><td>rpenoids</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Bi	cyclic sesquite	rpenoids			
caryophyllene 1422 1.17 - - 1.48 0.20 β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3.6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.76 1.55 - 0.31 - - caryophyll-4-en-7-ol 1636 $-$ - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - 1.26 - - chamazulene 1800 0.95 - - 1.84 1.86 -	a-bergamotene	1416	_	_	_	0.29	_
β -guayene 1441 - - 1.27 - - humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1533 - 0.12 - 0.31 - y-cudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 - 1.26 - chamazulene 1730 41.17 47.77 15.98 59.22 66.60 dehydrochamazulene	caryophyllene	1422	1.17	_	_	1.48	0.20
humulene 1456 - 0.06 - - - 9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogrmacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3.6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - 0.12 - 0.31 - γ-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 1.26 - chamazulene 1730 41.17 47.77 15.98 59.22 66.60 dehydrochamazulene 1800 0.95 - 1.84 1.86 Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids 0.32	β-guayene	1441	_	_	1.27	_	_
9-epi-caryophyllene 1469 - 0.61 - - - dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene, cis- 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.12 - 0.31 - Δ-amorphous 1553 - 0.12 - 0.31 - Δ-amorphous 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 - 1.84 1.86 dehydrochamazulene 1300 0.95 - - 1.84 1.86 f-cube	humulene	1456	_	0.06	_	_	_
dehydrosesquicineol 1471 1.89 0.40 0.63 1.67 0.93 selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - 0.12 - 0.31 - γ-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-01 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 - 1.26 - chamazulene 1730 41.17 47.77 15.98 59.22 66.60 dehydrochamazulene 1800 0.95 - - 1.84 1.86 <i>S</i> cocyclic monoterpenoids 0.32 0.18 6.37 0.98 0.32 <i>S</i> cocyclic monoterpenoids 3.64 1.54 1.28 2.77 0.48	9-epi-caryophyllene	1469	_	0.61	_	_	_
selina-4,11-diene 1488 1.54 0.96 1.56 1.14 0.37 cadina-4,11-diene, cis- 1496 - 0.08 - - - bicyclogermacrene 1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene 1530 - - - 0.76 1.55 Δ-amorphous 1553 - 0.12 - 0.31 - γ-eudesmol 1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol 1636 - - - 2.90 - caryophyll-4-en-13-al 1644 - 0.70 - 1.26 - chamazulene 1730 41.17 47.77 15.98 59.22 66.60 dehydrochamazulene 1800 0.95 - - 1.84 1.86 <i>f</i> -cubeben 1392 - 0.53 - - - 2 acyclic monoterpenoids 5.68	dehydrosesquicineol	1471	1.89	0.40	0.63	1.67	0.93
cadina-4,11-diene, cis-1496- 0.08 bicyclogermacrene1500 0.28 0.15 - 0.52 0.20 3,6-dihydrochamazulene1530 0.76 1.55 Δ -amorphous1553- 0.12 - 0.31 - γ -eudesmol1633 9.07 25.39 31.66 3.22 0.91 amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644- 0.70 - 1.26 -chamazulene1800 0.95 1.84 1.86 Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsTricyclic sesquiterpenoids β -cubeben1392- 0.53 2 acyclic monoterpenoids 3.64 1.54 1.28 2.27 0.48 2 bicyclic nonoterpenoids 5.68 2.42 1.19 3.44 1.33 2 acyclic sesquiterpenoids 3.63 1.19 3.90 5.09 2.07 2 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 5.607 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 2 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ 2 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 2 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ 2 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ 2 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids	selina-4,11-diene	1488	1.54	0.96	1.56	1.14	0.37
bicyclogermacrene15000.280.15-0.520.203,6-dihydrochamazulene15300.761.55Δ-amorphous1553-0.12-0.31-γ-eudesmol16339.0725.3931.663.220.91amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644-0.70-1.26-chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene18000.951.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsσequicit sesquiterpenoidsβ-cubeben1392-0.532 acyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.482 bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.332 acyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.072 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.072 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids5.60.776.2451.1074.6172.622 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 monocyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.622 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 monocyclic sesquiterpenoids	cadina-4,11-diene, cis-	1496	_	0.08	_	_	_
3,6-dihydrochamazulene15300.761.55Δ-amorphous1553-0.12-0.31-γ-eudesmol16339.0725.3931.663.220.91amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644-0.70-1.26-chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene18000.951.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsFocubeben1392-0.532 acyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.482 bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.332 acyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.072 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids5.60.776.2451.1074.6172.622 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.622 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 monocyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.622 tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 monocyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.532 bicyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53	bicyclogermacrene	1500	0.28	0.15	_	0.52	0.20
Δ -amorphous1553-0.12-0.31- γ -eudesmol16339.0725.3931.663.220.91amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644-0.70-1.26-chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene18000.951.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsTricyclic sesquiterpenoids0.53 β -cubeben1392-0.53 Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids- <td>3,6-dihydrochamazulene</td> <td>1530</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>1.55</td>	3,6-dihydrochamazulene	1530	_	_	_	0.76	1.55
γ-eudesmol16339.0725.3931.663.220.91amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644-0.70-1.26-chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene18000.951.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsσεινόθερη1392-0.53Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 <td< td=""><td>Δ-amorphous</td><td>1553</td><td>_</td><td>0.12</td><td>_</td><td>0.31</td><td>_</td></td<>	Δ -amorphous	1553	_	0.12	_	0.31	_
amorph-4-en-7-ol16362.90-caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644- 0.70 - 1.26 -chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene1800 0.95 1.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids β -cubeben1392- 0.53 Σ acyclic monoterpenoids 0.32 0.18 6.37 0.98 0.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids 3.64 1.54 1.28 2.27 0.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids 5.68 2.42 1.19 3.44 1.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids 3.63 1.19 3.90 5.09 2.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids 9.64 4.14 <td>γ-eudesmol</td> <td>1633</td> <td>9.07</td> <td>25.39</td> <td>31.66</td> <td>3.22</td> <td>0.91</td>	γ-eudesmol	1633	9.07	25.39	31.66	3.22	0.91
caryophyll-4-en-13-al1644- 0.70 - 1.26 -chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene1800 0.95 1.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids β -cubeben1392- 0.53 Σ acyclic monoterpenoids 0.32 0.18 6.37 0.98 0.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids 3.64 1.54 1.28 2.27 0.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids 5.68 2.42 1.19 3.44 1.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids 30.39 15.22 26.55 13.61 14.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids 3.63 1.19 3.90 5.09 2.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 <td>amorph-4-en-7-ol</td> <td>1636</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>2.90</td> <td>_</td>	amorph-4-en-7-ol	1636	_	_	_	2.90	_
chamazulene173041.1747.7715.9859.2266.60dehydrochamazulene18000.951.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoidsβ-cubeben1392-0.53Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ monoterpenoids-0.53Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.31 </td <td>caryophyll-4-en-13-al</td> <td>1644</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.70</td> <td>_</td> <td>1.26</td> <td>_</td>	caryophyll-4-en-13-al	1644	_	0.70	_	1.26	_
dehydrochamazulene1800 0.95 $ -$ 1.841.86Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids β -cubeben1392 $ 0.53$ $ \Sigma$ acyclic monoterpenoids 0.32 0.18 6.37 0.98 0.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids 3.64 1.54 1.28 2.27 0.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids 5.68 2.42 1.19 3.44 1.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids 30.39 15.22 26.55 13.61 14.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids 3.63 1.19 3.90 5.09 2.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ $ \Sigma$ monoterpenoids $ 0.53$ $ \Sigma$ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ $ \Sigma$ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids $ 0.53$ $ \Sigma$ monoterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	chamazulene	1730	41.17	47.77	15.98	59.22	66.60
Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids β -cubeben1392-0.53 Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70	dehydrochamazulene	1800	0.95	_	_	1.84	1.86
β -cubeben1392-0.53 Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70		Tri	cyclic sesquite	rpenoids			
Σ acyclic monoterpenoids0.320.186.370.980.32 Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70	<i>B</i> -cubeben	1392	_	0.53	_	_	_
Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids3.641.541.282.270.48 Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70	Σ acyclic monoterpenoids		0.32	0.18	6.37	0.98	0.32
Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids5.682.421.193.441.33 Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70	Σ monocyclic monoterpenoids		3.64	1.54	1.28	2.27	0.48
Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids30.3915.2226.5513.6114.01 Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids3.631.193.905.092.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids56.0776.2451.1074.6172.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids-0.53 Σ monoterpenoids9.644.148.846.692.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids90.0993.1881.5593.3188.70	Σ bicyclic monoterpenoids		5.68	2.42	1.19	3.44	1.33
Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids 3.63 1.19 3.90 5.09 2.07 Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids - 0.53 - - - Σ monoterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	Σ acyclic sesquiterpenoids		30.39	15.22	26.55	13.61	14.01
Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids 56.07 76.24 51.10 74.61 72.62 Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids - 0.53 - - - Σ monoterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	Σ monocyclic sesquiterpenoids		3.63	1.19	3.90	5.09	2.07
Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids - 0.53 - - - Σ monoterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	Σ bicyclic sesquiterpenoids		56.07	76.24	51.10	74.61	72.62
Σ monoterpenoids 9.64 4.14 8.84 6.69 2.13 Σ sesquiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	Σ tricyclic sesquiterpenoids		_	0.53		_	
Z instruct periods Z is sequiterpenoids 90.09 93.18 81.55 93.31 88.70	Σ monoterpenoids		9 64	4 14	8 84	6 69	2.13
<i>a</i> strauter periodus <i>70.07 75.10 01.55 75.51 00./0</i>	Σ seguiterpenoide		90.00	03.18	81 55	03 31	88 70
Unidentified constituents 0.27 2.68 9.61 – 9.17	<u>Unidentified constituents</u>		0.27	2.68	9.61	-	9.17



Figure 3 Principal component method. Biplot (PC1-PC2) of the composition of *Artemisia jacutica* Drob. essential oil. In the figure: triangles – wild plants, squares – cultivated plants; purple – experimental data according to Table 3, red – literature data [10]; black squares – oil components

A total of 51 components were identified in the essential oil samples. A number of components (γ -terpinene, terpineol-4, α -terpineol, α -pinene, 1,8-cineol, β -farnesene, neryl-2-methylbutanoate, neryl-3-methylbutanoate, geranyl-3-methylbutanoate, γ -curcumene, germacrene D, caryophyllene, chamazulene) were found in the oils from both the cultivated and wild plants at all, or almost all, development phases. Several compounds (β -ionone, α -bergamotene, Δ -amorphene, amorph-4-en-7-ol, and 3,6-dihydrochamazulene) were found only in the oil from the cultivated plants.

The oils from cultivated and wild plants were similar in composition by group. The composition of the oil from wild plants was most diverse in the flowering phase (up to 32 components). In this phase, the oil had the highest content of chamazulene (47.77%), the dominant component, compared to its lowest content in the fruiting phase (15.98%). The oil obtained from wild plants in the budding phase had higher contents of 1,8-cineol (4.97%) and neryl-3-methylbutanoate (13.12%), while in the oil obtained in the fruiting phase, neryl-2methylbutanoate (10.45%), nerylpentanoate (14.30%), y-eudesmol (31.66%), and germacren D (2.06%) prevailed. Our analysis showed a high content of geraniol and a wide variety of its derivatives, as well as its isomer nerol. However, neryl isobutanoate and geranyl-2methylbutanoate were found only in the essential oil from wild plants (Table 3).

The highest content of chamazulene was found in the oil from cultivated plants (59.22 and 66.60% on plots No. 1 and No. 2, respectively), which was higher

than its content in the oil from wild plants both in the budding (41.17%) or flowering phase (47.77%). The oil from plants cultivated on plot No. 1 was more diverse (33 components) that the one from plot No. 2 (25 components). Despite the higher survival rate of A. jacutica in the more fertile plot, the highest content of chamazulene was found in the oil from the less fertile plot with a higher content of mobile phosphorus P₂O₅. Apparently, phosphorus increases the activity of enzymes that control the biosynthesis of proazulene substances [18]. The total content of geraniol derivatives and nerol was also higher in the oil from the plants cultivated on plot No. 2 (11.01%), compared to plot No. 1 (9.91%). In the essential oils of wild plants, it varied from 15.11 to 25.68%, depending on the phase of plant development.

We compared the oils from *A. jacutica* cultivated in Buryatia and in the Siberian Botanical Garden (Tomsk) and found that chamazulene was their main component [10]. However, its content was lower in the plants grown in Tomsk (up to 43.37%), compared to the plants cultivated in Buryatia (up to 66.60%) or wildgrowing plants in Yakutia (up to 45.75%) and Buryatia (up to 47.77%). We analyzed our results and literature data by the principal component method and identified two chemotypes, "Yakutian" and "Buryatian". The chemotypes were preserved in the cultivated plants (Fig. 3).

The components identified in *A. jacutica* essential oil can be divided into three groups:



Figure 4 DPPH-antiradical activity of *Artemisia jacutica* essential oil

1) Components found in the essential oils of both wild and cultivated plants, regardless of chemotype. This group includes dominant (chamazulene, γ -eudesmol, neryl-2-methylbutanoate, 1,8-cineol) and minor (β -myrcene, α -terpineol, geranyl-3-methylbutanoate, caryophyllene) components. The acyclic sesquiterpenoid nerylpentanoate was found in noticeable amounts only in the wild plants of the Yakutian (up to 14.59%) and Buryatian (up to 14.30%) chemotypes, and in small amounts in the cultivated plants of the Buryatian (0.65%) chemotype;

2) Components found in the essential oils of both wild and cultivated plants of either Yakutian or Buryatian chemotype. For example, the Yakutian plants had a noticeable content of α -bisabolol (6.07–24.75%), geranyl tiglat (0.69–3.05%), and intermediol (0.25–2.78%), while the Buryatian plants contained some compounds that were absent in the Yakutian chemotype, namely γ -terpinene, α -pinene, β -farnesene, γ -curcumene, lavandulyl-hexanoate, and others;

3) Components found in the essential oils from cultivated plants of the Yakutian chemotype and from both wild and cultivated plants of the Buryatian chemotype: terpineol-4, neryl-3-methylbutanoate, germacren D, and seline-4,11-diene. Sesquiterpene hydrocarbon β -selinene (0.21–0.41%) was identified only in the introduced plants of the Yakutian chemotype.

Antiradical activity of *A. jacutica* essential oil. The antiradical potential of *A. jacutica* essential oil was determined by the DPPH test (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical inhibition). We found that the oil exhibited high antiradical activity ($IC_{50} = 49.47 \ \mu L/mL$) (Fig. 4).

The antiradical potential of *A. jacutica* oil was higher than that of *A. annua* – 50.63 µg/mL, *A. gmelinii* – 2400 µg/mL, or *A. alba* – 1.50 mg/mL [19–21]. It could be due to synergistic and antagonistic interactions between individual components of essential oil as a complex system. Azulenes, including chamazulene, are known to have significant antioxidant activity [22].

CONCLUSION

The primary introduction of Artemisia jacutica Drob. showed good prospects for cultivating this plant in the natural and climatic conditions of Buryatia. The macro- and microscopic features and dominant components found in the essential oil of A. jacutica grown on the experimental plots were similar to those found in the wild plants. Two chemotypes of A. jacutica, Yakutian and Buryatian, were distinguished according to the oil composition. Notably, the chemotypes were preserved in the cultivated plants. The oil's high antiradical activity and a high content of chamazulene make A. jacutica a valuable material for the cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and agricultural industries.

CONTRIBUTION

E.P. Dylenova developed the research concept and design, analyzed the data, and wrote the first draft. S.V. Zhigzhitzhapova collected and analyzed the data and edited the article. D.B. Goncharova collected and analyzed the data. Zh.A. Tykheev edited the article. D.G. Chimitov conducted field work and edited the article. L.D. Radnaeva edited the article.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Resource Ecological and Biological Center of Buryatia in Ulan-Ude and Svetlana A. Dondokova for proving us with experimental plots and assistance with the introduction.

REFERENCES

- Gatadi S, Gour J, Nanduri S. Natural product derived promising anti-MRSA drug leads: A review. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry. 2019;27(17):3760–3774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2019.07.023
- Zhang H, Bai L, He J, Zhong L, Duan X, Ouyang L, *et al.* Recent advances in discovery and development of natural products as source for anti-Parkinson's disease lead compounds. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. 2017;141:257–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.068
- Bhuiyan FR, Howlader S, Raihan T, Hasan M. Plant metabolites: Possibility of natural therapeutics against the COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Medicine. 2020;7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00444
- Faskhutdinova ER, Sukhikh AS, Le VM, Minina VI, Khelef MEA, Loseva AI. Effects of bioactive substances isolated from Siberian medicinal plants on the lifespan of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Foods and Raw Materials. 2022;10(2): 340–352. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2022-2-544

- 5. Liu K, Zuo H, Li G, Yu H, Hu Y. Global research on artemisinin and its derivatives: Perspectives from patents. Pharmacological Research. 2020;159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105048
- 6. Russo A, Bruno M, Avola R, Cardile V, Rigano D. Chamazulene-rich *Artemisia arborescens* essential oils affect the cell growth of human melanoma cells. Plants. 2020;9(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081000
- Bakun P, Czarczynska-Goslinska B, Goslinski T, Lijewski S. In vitro and in vivo biological activities of azulene derivatives with potential applications in medicine. Medical Chemistry Research. 2021;30(4):834–846. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00044-021-02701-0
- Zhou Y, He L, Zhang N, Ma L, Yao L. Photoprotective effect of *Artemisia sieversiana* Ehrhart essential oil against UVB-induced photoaging in mice. Photochemistry and Photobiology. 2022;98(4):958–968. https://doi.org/10.1111/ php.13561
- Zhigzhitzhapova SV, Randalova TE, Radnaeva LD, Anenkhonov OA, Chen SL, Gao Q, *et al.* Comparative studies on composition of essential oil in three wormwoods (*Artemisia* L.) from Buryatia and Mongolia. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants. 2015;18(3):637–641. https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2014.958547
- 10. Khanina MA, Serykh EA, Amel'chenko VP, Pokrovskiy LM, Tkachev AV. The results of an introduction study of *Artemisia jacutica* Drob. Chemistry of Plant Raw Materials. 1999;(3):63–78. (In Russ.). https://elibrary.ru/HYRJAH
- 11. Kucharova EV, Okhlopkova ZhM, Antonova EE. Strain of callus cell culture of the Yakut wormwood plant (Artemisia jacutica Drobow) under the reference code NEFU-Ajac-1 in vitro conditions for obtaining cell biomass. Russia patent RU 2757734C1. 2021.
- 12. Dylenova EP. Pharmacognostic characteristics of Artemisia frigida Willd. and Artemisia jacutica Drob. and the development of medicines based on them. Cand. pharm. sci. diss. Ulan-Ude: Institute of General and Experimental Biology; 2019. 226 p. (In Russ.).
- 13. Tykheev ZhA. A pharmacognostic study of plants of the genus *Bupleurum* L. in the regions of Inner Asia. Cand. pharm. sci. diss. Ulan-Ude: Institute of General and Experimental Biology; 2020. 182 p. (In Russ.).
- Dhakshayani GM, Priya SJA. A comparative study of phytochemical, antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, and antidiabetic potential of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.): Microgreen and mature plant. Foods and Raw Materials. 2022;10(2):283– 294. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2022-2-539
- Cheurfa M, Achouche M, Azouzi A, Abdalbasit MA. Antioxidant and anti-diabetic activity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) leaves extracts. Foods and Raw Materials. 2020;8(2):329–336. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2020-2-329-336
- 16. Androsova DN, Danilova NS. Seed germination of species Asteraceae of Yakut flora. Izvestia of Samara Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences. 2017;19(2):104–109. (In Russ.). https://elibrary.ru/ZWPZTD
- 17. Androsova DN. Types of seed germination for the plants in central Yakutia. Arctic and Subarctic Natural Resources. 2019;24(2):83–94. (In Russ.). https://doi.org/10.31242/2618-9712-2019-24-2-8
- Rathore S, Kumar R. Dynamics of phosphorus and biostimulants on agro-morphologym yield and essential oil profile of german chamomile (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.) under acidic soil conditions of the Western Himalaya. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2022;13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.917388
- 19. Zhigzhitzhapova SV, Dylenova EP, Gulyaev SM, Randalova TE, Taraskin VV, Tykheev ZhA, *et al.* Composition and antioxidant activity of the essential oil of *Artemisia annua* L. Natural Product Research. 2020;34(18):2668–2671. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2018.1548461
- 20. Xu Q, Zhang L, Yu S, Xia G, Zhu J, Zang H. Chemical composition and biological activities of an essential oil from the aerial parts of *Artemisia Gmelinii* Weber ex Stechm. Natural Product Research. 2021;35(2):346–349. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/14786419.2019.1627349
- 21. Amina B, Soumenya B, Salim B, Mahieddine B, Sakina B, Chawki B, et al. Chemical profiling, antioxidant, enzyme inhibitory and in silico modeling of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Artemisia herba alba* Asso. essential oils from Algeria. South African Journal of Botany. 2022;147:501–510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2022.02.012
- 22. Roshchina VV, Khaybulaeva LM, Prizova NK, Kuchin AV, Soltani GA, Kun'ev AR. Ozone sensitivity of plant surface cells as sensors. Collection of scientific articles "Receptors and intracellular signaling". Pushchino: Pushchino Scientific Center for Biological Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences; 2021. pp. 581–587. (In Russ.).

ORCID IDs

Elena P. Dylenova Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-9292-7596 Svetlana V. Zhigzhitzhapova Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-2335-0068 Danaya B. Goncharova Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-4688-1109 Zhargal A. Tykheev Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-6389-2494 Daba G. Chimitov Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-1251-3167 Larisa D. Radnaeva Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-2886-1075