

Research Article Open Access

Available online at http://jfrm.ru/en https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2026-1-664 https://elibrary.ru/TEQFHT

Antilithiasic and antioxidant activities of propolis and bee pollen extracts: *In vitro* and *in silico* studies

Safia Ali Haimoud^{1,*}⁽⁰⁾, Fatiha Arioui¹⁽⁰⁾, Meriem Medjekane²⁽⁰⁾, Leila Ali Haimoud³⁽⁰⁾, Khelifa Bahri¹⁽⁰⁾, Asma Cheikh¹⁽⁰⁾, Saadia Ghiboub¹⁽⁰⁾, Hanane Benabed¹⁽⁰⁾, Ikram Boudali¹⁽⁰⁾, Besma Ayache¹⁽⁰⁾, Chaima Beskri¹⁽⁰⁾

¹ Hassiba Benbouali University of Chlefkör, Ouled Fares, Algeria
 ² University Center Nour Bachirkör, El Bayadh, Algeria
 ³ Public Hospital, Chlef, Algeria

* e-mail: s.alihaimoud@univ-chlef.dz

Received 24.05.2024; Revised 10.10.2024; Accepted 05.11.2024; Published online 15.04.2025

Abstract:

Urolithiasis is a wide-spread condition with no efficient pharmacological treatments. It causes the formation of renal stones.

The article describes the antioxidant and anti-lithiasis potencies of extracts of Algerian propolis and bee pollen. Their inhibiting effect on calcium oxalate crystallization was assessed against citric acid using UV-Visible spectrophotometry at 620 nm. The effect of rutin and liquiritin on xanthine dehydrogenase was analyzed in silico.

The highest levels (p < 0.05) of total phenols (129.28 ± 1.51 mg GAE/g) and flavonoids (77.58 ± 1.95 mg QEQ/g) belonged to the bee pollen ethanolic extract. The same extract had the strongest (p < 0.05) DPPH scavenging capacity (9.420 ± 0.002 µg/mL). The ethanolic extract of propolis possessed the highest antioxidant potency (63.05 ± 3.49%) according to the β -carotene assay. Crystal nucleation in the presence of 16 mg/mL extracts was the same as in the samples tested with citric acid. The propolis ethanolic extract (82.83 ± 0.83%) demonstrated the maximal inhibition of crystal growth at 16 mg/mL, compared with citric acid (91.90 ± 0.26%). Based on the binding free energy (ΔG) and root mean square deviation, the ligands were efficient inhibitors of xanthine dehydrogenase.

Bee pollen and propolis proved to contain bioactive components that can make them an alternative to traditional methods of urolithiasis prevention and treatment.

Keywords: Bee products, calcium oxalate, crystallization, extracts, DPPH method, antilithiasic activity, xanthine dehydrogenase

Please cite this article in press as: Ali Haimoud S, Arioui F, Medjekane M, Ali Haimoud L, Bahri K, Cheikh A, *et al.* Antilithiasic and antioxidant activities of propolis and bee pollen extracts: *In vitro* and *in silico* studies. Foods and Raw Materials. 2026;14(1):138–147. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2026-1-664

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is a common disorder that arises from the formation of renal stones and has no efficient pharmacological treatment [1]. Some forms are recurrent; severe cases may lead to kidney disorders, causing pain, bleeding, and urinary tract infections. The stone formation encompasses all processes within the urinary tract and is divided into two primary stages, i.e., nucleation and crystallization. Crystals develop from substances originally dissolved in urine. This stage is not inherently pathological as these crystals can aggregate and grow at various points within the urinary system [1]. Infection appears to facilitate stone formation by inducing physical and chemical changes in urine, including acidification [2]. Urolithiasis depends on health conditions, eating habits, and lifestyle [3]. The most common clinical symptom of this kidney pathology is nephritic colic, which is characterized by severe and sudden pain in the renal region. Urolithiasis affects around 10% of population in non-industrialized regions [4, 5].

Urolithiasis exacerbates the oxidative stress, which is a cytotoxic condition implicated in the development of such pathologies as cancer, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, autoimmune disease, diabetes, multiple sclerosis, and arthritis [6]. Xanthine dehydrogenase facilitates purine metabolism by generating reactive oxygen species, such as superoxide anions (O_2^-) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). Xanthine oxidoreductase exists in

Copyright © 2025, Ali Haimoud *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

two interchangeable forms, i.e., xanthine dehydrogenase and xanthine oxidase. The dehydrogenase form transitions to the oxidase form, which then produces hydrogen peroxide and superoxide. This transformation happens through the oxidation of sulfhydryl groups or via stimulated proteolysis. Both forms of the enzyme can cause the oxidation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrogen (NADH) while simultaneously producing reactive oxygen species. Because the xanthine oxidase reaction produces reactive oxygen species and uric acid, this enzyme is considered to be a major source of reactive oxygen species in the bloodstream [7].

Bees produce beeswax, royal jelly, bee pollen, and propolis. The importance of bee pollen comes from its rich complex composition. It includes vitamins (C, E, B-group vitamins), mineral salts (phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, copper, zinc, iron), essential amino acids, and phenolic compounds known for their numerous biological properties [8].

Propolis is a natural resinous substance gathered by bees (*Apis mellifera*). It contains more than 300 constituents, including volatile aromatic compounds, minerals (iron, calcium, zinc, copper, manganese), vitamins (C, E, B-group), aliphatic fatty acids, esters, amino acids, phenolic acids, and flavonoids [9–12].

Bee by-products and their chemical composition receive a lot of scientific attention due to their phenolic components [13]. However, their biological effects remain understudied. For instance, no reliable scientific data are available on the antilithiatic effect of propolis and bee pollen extracts on the crystallization of calcium oxalate monohydrate. This research examined the antioxidant and inhibiting effects of Algerian native propolis and bee pollen on the crystallization of calcium oxalate. The research objective was to unravel possible new applications in medicine as antilithiatic agents, as well as to determine the interaction of rutin and liquiritin with xanthine dehydrogenase *in silico*.

STUDY OBJECTS AND METHODS

Ingredients and chemicals. The chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade. The list of solvents included methanol, ethanol, chloroform, sodium bicarbonate, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical, calcium chloride, sodium oxalate, hydrochlorid acid, and butylated hydroxytoluene. They were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich GmbH (Germany). The Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, β -carotene, sodium hydroxide, gallic acid, quercetin, linoleic acid, and Tween 40 were obtained from Sigma Chemical (USA). The sodium nitrite and aluminum chloride were supplied by Fluka (Germany).

Beehive by-products. In this research, the by-products of bees were represented by bee pollen and propolis. They were harvested from the Chlef Region, Western Algeria, in February 2020 and 2021, respectively. Bee pollen is bright yellow round grains; propolis is a dark brown substance with a pungent flavor and sweet fragrance. The samples were stored in a freezer at -20° C for further analysis (Fig. 1).



Figure 1 *Apis mellifera*'s beehive by-products: a – bee pollen; b – propolis

Preparing the extracts. To obtain crude methanolic extracts, 2.5 g of propolis and bee pollen were separately added to 25 mL of methanol. The resulting mix was filtered and concentrated using a rotary vacuum evaporator (Büchi, Switzerland) [13]. After that, 10 g of pollen and propolis grain powder were separately added to 100 mL of ethanol. The preparations were stirred magnetically for 30 min. After 24 h, the macerates were filtered and concentrated under vacuum [10].

Bioactive content. *Total phenolic content.* To determine the total phenolic content, we shook 200 μ L of each extract with 1.5 mL of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (1:10) and 1.5 mL of sodium bicarbonate (60 g/L). After 90 min, the absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically at 725 nm using an Optizen 2120 device (Mecasys, South Korea). The total phenolic content was given as 1 mg gallic acid equivalent per 1 g extract (mg GAE/g) [14].

Total flavonoid content. To determine the total flavonoid content, we mixed 1 mL of each extract, 4 mL of distilled water, and 0.3 mL of sodium nitrite (5%). After 5 min, we added 0.3 mL of aluminum chloride (10%), 2 mL sodium hydroxide (4%), and 2.4 mL distilled water. After another 5 min, we measured the optical density at 510 nm. The total flavonoid content was given as 1 mg quercetin equivalent per 1 g extract (mg QEQ/g) [15].

Antioxidant potencies in vitro. β -Carotene-linoleic assay. We mixed 1 mL of β -carotene (3 mg) dissolved in 30 mL chloroform with 40 mg of linoleic acid and 400 mg of Tween 40. Upon removing chloroform at 40°C, we added 100 mL of distilled water. After that, we added 3 mL of the resulting emulsion to 50 µL of the test sample (20 mg/mL); 10 µg/mL butylated hydroxytoluene served as comparison. The optical density was recorded at 470 nm. The antiradical activity (AA, %) of the test sample was calculated by the following Eq. (1):

$$AA = \frac{A_{10} - A_{160}}{A_{10}} \times 100$$
 (1)

where A_{t0} and A_{t60} were the initial absorbance and the absorbance measured after 60 min of incubation for the extracts and the blank, respectively [16].

DPPH radical-scavenging. We incubated the mixes of 2.7 mL extract concentrations of propolis and bee pollen with 0.3 mL of a DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) solution (0.004%) for 30 min. The discoloration was recorded at 517 nm; butylated hydroxytoluene served as comparison. The inhibition activity (I, %) was calculated using the following Eq. (2):

$$I = \frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0} \times 100$$
 (2)

where A_0 and A_1 were the absorbance values of the blank (DPPH solution) and the sample extracts, respectively.

The antiradical potency was expressed in term of IC_{50} (µg/mL), i.e., the scavenging of 50% of DPPH radical [17].

Inhibiting effect in vitro on calcium oxalate crystallization. After mixing 1 mL of calcium chloride solution (5 mmol/L) with 1 mL of distilled water to read the blank, we added 1 mL of sodium oxalate solution (7.5 mmol/L) to trigger crystallization. The optical density of the solution was measured at 620 nm for 10 min.

To determine the *in-vitro* effect of the propolis and bee pollen extracts on calcium oxalate formation, we mixed 1 mL of calcium chloride solution with 1 mL of each extract at 2, 4, 8, and 16 mg/mL. After adding 1 mL of sodium oxalate solution, the procedure was repeated with citric acid at 6 mM as a positive control [18]. The absorbance was recorded at 620 nm. The inhibition percentage (I, %) was calculated by the Eq. (3):

$$I = \frac{N_{Ss} - N_{Si}}{N_{Ss}} \times 100$$
 (3)

where $N_{\rm Si}$ and $N_{\rm Ss}$ were the nucleation slope test results with and without the inhibitor, respectively [18].

Interaction of xanthine dehydrogenase and phenolic compounds *in silico*. We used the *in-silico* method to study the interaction between xanthine dehydrogenase with rutin and liquiritin as the major phenolic compounds in the propolis and bee pollen extracts.

Protein preparation. The structure of xanthine dehydrogenase (ID: 1N5X at a resolution of 2.80 Å) was obtained from the Protein Data Bank at www.pdb.org. The Gasteiger-type atomic charges and hydrogen atoms were added to the xanthine dehydrogenase structure using the AutoDockTools (ADT) software. The modified protein structures were saved in the PDBQT format for subsequent docking studies.

Ligand preparation. Rutin and liquiritin were downloaded from the PubChem database in the SDF format and converted to the PDB format using the PyMOL software. To evaluate the energy of the system and facilitate the ligand binding, the protein receptor was enclosed in a three-dimensional grid encompassing the active site. The grid box allowed a free rotation of the ligand and was centered on the ligand with dimensions adjusted to fit all the ligands under study. The grid spacing was fixed at 1 Å. **Root mean square deviation.** The AutoDock Vina software was used to determine the interactions between xanthine dehydrogenase and the phenolic ligands. The reliability of the docking program was assessed by calculating the root mean square deviation (RMSD) between the predicted ligand conformations and the experimental complex conformations. The maximal allowable difference in the root mean square deviation was 2 Å to ensure accuracy. Discovery Studio 4.0 made it possible to validate the accuracy of the docking predictions and the visual analysis.

Statistical analysis. The results were given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The statistical analysis involved the SPSS software. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed differences in mean values. The Tukey test highlighted statistically significant differences (p < 0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioactive content. The total phenolic content of the extracts under study varied from 41.25 ± 0.93 to 129.28 ± 1.51 mg GAE/g. The ethanolic extract of bee pollen had the highest total phenolic content (129.28 ± 1.51 mg GAE/g) (p < 0.05) followed by the methanolic extract of propolis (93.50 ± 2.65 mg GAE/g) and the ethanolic extract of propolis (93.16 ± 2.49 mg GAE/g). The lowest total phenolic content (41.25 ± 0.93 mg GAE/g) belonged to the methanolic extract of pollen (p < 0.05) (Table 1).

The statistical analysis revealed that the ethanolic extract of bee pollen had the maximal total flavonoid content (77.58 \pm 1.95 mg QEQ/g) followed by the ethanolic extract of propolis (34.91 \pm 3.25 mg QEQ/g). The lowest total flavonoid fraction was detected in the methanolic extract of bee pollen (10.54 \pm 1.45 mg QEQ/g) (Table 1).

Nieva Moreno *et al.*, who studied the total phenolic and flavonoid contents of bee pollen extracts from different regions of Argentine, reported them as 19.28– 48.90 mg GAE/g and 13.39–42 mg CEQ/g, respectively [19]. Ahn *et al.* reported that the total phenolic content of Chinese propolis varied from 85 ± 2 to $228 \pm$ 8 mg GAE/g [12]. Moreira *et al.* defined the total phenolic content of methanolic propolis extract as ranging from 151.00 ± 0.01 to 329.00 ± 0.01 mg GAE/g [20]. Our results were much higher compared to those reported by Mohammadzadeh, who found that the total phenolic

Table 1 Bioactive content of propolis and bee pollen extracts

Extracts	Total phenolic content, mg GAE/g	Total flavonoid content, mg QEQ/g
Methanolic extract of propolis	$93.50\pm2.65^{\text{b}}$	$14.36 \pm 1.82^{\circ}$
Ethanolic extract of propolis	$93.16\pm2.49^{\text{b}}$	$34.91\pm3.25^{\text{b}}$
Methanolic extract of bee pollen	$41.25\pm0.93^{\circ}$	$10.54\pm1.45^{\rm d}$
Ethanolic extract of bee pollen	$129.28\pm1.51^{\mathtt{a}}$	$77.58 \pm 1.95^{\text{a}}$

Different letters in the same raw are significantly different (p < 0.05); mg GAE/g = 1 mg gallic acid equivalent per 1 g; mg CEQ/g = 1 mg catechin equivalent per 1 g. content of Iranian propolis extracts varied from 3.08 ± 0.02 to 8.46 ± 0.03 mg EAG/g [21]. Our results were also much higher than those published by Asem *et al.*, in whose study the total phenolic and flavonoid contents of Malaysian bee propolis extracts varied from 135.93 ± 5.95 to $326.10 \pm 4.94 \mu$ M EAG/g and 28.57 ± 3.17 to $55.16 \pm 7.52 \mu$ M QEQ/g, respectively [22].

Our findings were in accordance with those reported by Carpes *et al.*, who studied the total flavonoid content of Brazilian bee pollen (2.10–28.33 mg CEQ/g) [23]. According to Ahn *et al.*, the total flavonoid contents of Korean propolis extract ranged between 15.90 and 135.20 mg CEQ/g [24]. A recent study with 13 types of Anzer pollens from Turkey reported that the flavonoid contents ranged from 44.07 to 124.10 mg CEQ/g. The observed differences may be associated with the extraction conditions, the local vegetation, and the species of stingless bees [25].

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) showed the following list of major phenolic compounds: caffeic, syringic, ellagic, hydroxybenzoic, vanillic, as well as ferulic and o'coumaric acids [26–28]. Shi *et al.* and Rzepecka-Stojko *et al.* described gallic, benzoic, cinnamic, and phenylacetic acids as the dominant phenolic compounds in bee pollen [29, 30]. Luteolin, quercetin, rutin, formononectin, and liquiritin were the major flavonoids in Brazilian propolis [31, 32]. Apigenin, rutin, catechin, epicatechin, luteolin, quercetin, kaempferol, and naringenin were identified in bee pollen, and some of them could be antioxidant components [33, 34].

In-vitro antioxidant potencies. β -carotene-linoleic assay. Table 2 shows that the highest antioxidant capacity (p < 0.05) belonged to the ethanolic extract of propolis (63.05 ± 3.49%), whereas the ethanolic extract of bee pollen had the lowest antioxidant capacity (16.67 ± 1.08%). Based on a β -carotene bleaching assay, the order of antiradical capacity of the propolis and bee pollen extracts was as follows: bee pollen ethanolic extract < bee pollen methanolic extract < propolis methanolic extract < propolis ethanolic extract. None of the test samples exceeded the potency of butylated hydroxytoluene (96.35 ± 1.29%).

DPPH radical-scavenging. The ethanolic extract of bee pollen showed the highest level of antiradical efficiency $(9.42 \pm 0.0028 \ \mu\text{g/mL})$ while the methanolic extract of bee pollen had the lowest one $(33.56 \pm 0.012 \ \mu\text{g/mL})$. Based on the DPPH method, the order of antioxidant activity of extracts was as follows: bee pollen methanolic extract < propolis methanolic extract < propolis ethanolic extract. No significant difference (p > 0.05) was detected between the antioxidant potencies of butylated hydroxytoluene and the ethanolic extract of bee pollen (Table 2).

A combination of more than one method is necessary to cover different mechanisms of antioxidant action, e.g., synergistic interactions. In this research, each extract was tested for its antioxidant activity by two complementary and universally methods, namely the β -carotenelinoleic acid system and the DPPH assay. Carpes *et al.*

 Table 2 Antioxidant activities of propolis and bee pollen

 extracts

Extracts	β -carotene	IC ₅₀ DPPH,
	bleaching assay, %	µg/mL
Methanolic extract	$20.35\pm2.62^{\circ}$	$11.80 \pm 0.0043^{\rm b}$
of propolis		
Ethanolic extract	$63.05\pm3.49^{\mathrm{b}}$	$10.95 \pm 0.0068^{\text{b}}$
of propolis		
Methanolic extract	$17.18\pm1.90^{\circ}$	$33.56\pm0.012^{\rm a}$
of bee pollen		
Ethanolic extract	$16.67 \pm 1.08^{\circ}$	$9.42\pm0.0028^{\rm c}$
of bee pollen		
Butylated	$96.35\pm1.29^{\rm a}$	$4.59 \pm 0.00086^{\rm c}$
hydroxytoluene		

Different letters in the same raw are significantly different (p < 0.05).

reported that ethanolic extracts of Brazilian bee pollen exhibited potent antioxidant activity by bleaching the β -carotene assay: the inhibition percentage varied from 40 to 90% [23]. According to Zhang et al., caffeoylquinic acids and artepillin C were the major effective metabolites for quality control of Brazilian propolis due to their high antiradical capacity [34]. The ethanol extracts of propolis from different regions of Argentine showed antiradical capacity against DPPH, which varied from 20.00 \pm 1.10 to $67.5 \pm 2.3\%$ at 20 µg/mL [16]. Gulcin *et al.*, who studied lyophilized aqueous extracts of Turkish propolis with the DPPH test, reported an IC₅₀ of 31.81 μ g/mL [35]. Khider et al. tested the antioxidant potency of the methanolic extracts of Egyptian bee pollen from maize, clover, and date palm using the DPPH assay [36]. The respective values of IC₅₀ were 0.6, 0.8 and 0.7 μ g/mL.

Therefore, the antioxidant capacity of such bee byproducts as propolis and bee pollen depends on their phenolic content.

Boeing *et al.* proved that phenolic components were responsible for the antioxidant activity of berry extracts [37]. In their case, methanol was the most efficient extraction solvent, followed by water and ethanol. This result could have been due to better solvation of antioxidant compounds present in fruits as a result of interactions (hydrogen bonds) between the polar sites of the antioxidant molecules and the solvent.

Antilithiasic potency of propolis and pollen extracts. Crystallization kinetics of calcium oxalate. The crystallization was significant (p < 0.001) in the presence of citric acid at 6 mM, compared to that of the blank, which contained no extract. The crystallization kinetics in the presence of the propolis and bee pollen ethanolic extracts at 8 and 16 mg/mL and in the presence of citric acid were not significantly different (p > 0.05). The absorbance values of citric acid proved to be significantly different (p < 0.05), compared to those of different concentrations of propolis and pollen ethanolic extracts (2, 4, 8, and 16 mg/mL). A significant difference (p < 0.001) was recorded between the absorbance of citric acid and those of the ethanolic extracts of propolis and bee pollen at 2 and 4 mg/mL (Figs. 2 and 3).



Figure 2 Inhibiting effect of ethanolic bee pollen extract on calcium oxalate crystallization



Figure 4 Inhibiting effect of ethanolic propolis extract on calcium oxalate crystallization

The crystallization kinetics in the presence of the methanolic extract of pollen at 16 mg/mL did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) from that obtained in the presence of citric acid. However, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was recorded between the absorbance of citric acid (p < 0.05) and those of the methanolic extracts of propolis and pollen at 2, 4, 8, and 16 mg/mL. A very significant difference (p < 0.01) was recorded between the absorbances of citric acid and that of the methanolic extract of pollen at 2, 4, 8, and 16 mg/mL. The crystallization kinetics in the presence of citric acid at 6 mM proved to be very significant (p < 0.001), compared to that observed in the blank (without extract) (Figs. 4 and 5).

Inhibition percentage of calcium oxalate crystallization. The inhibition percentage (I, %) was calculated from turbidity slopes with and without propolis and bee pollen extracts. The inhibition percentage of the extracts was lower than that observed in citric acid (91.90 \pm 0.26%). The latter showed significant anti-



Figure 3 Inhibiting effect of methanolic bee pollen extract on calcium oxalate crystallization



Figure 5 Inhibiting effect of methanolic propolis extract on calcium oxalate crystallization

lithiasis activity (p < 0.001), compared to the ethanolic extract of propolis and the methanolic extract of pollen at 2, 4, 8, and 16 mg/mL. The inhibition percentage of citric acid crystallization was highly significant (p < 0.01), compared to those of the ethanolic propolis extract ($82.83 \pm 0.84\%$), the methanolic pollen extract ($80.480 \pm 0.021\%$), and the ethanolic pollen extract ($80.480 \pm 0.021\%$) at 16 mg/mL (Fig. 6).

Many studies report various effects of medicinal plant extracts on lithogenesis. Plants inhibit calcium oxalate crystallization under different protocols *in vivo* and *in vitro*. However, according to our knowledge, no scientific research ever evaluated the anti-lithiasic activity of propolis and bee pollen extracts. We compared our results with data on extracts from different plants reported by other authors.

Kachkoul *et al.* found a potent antilithiasic effect of *Ammi visnaga* L. and *Punica granatum* L., the respective inhibition percentages being 97.80 ± 0.12 and $73.25 \pm 0.81\%$



Figure 6 Percentage of crystallization inhibition in presence of extracts

Table 3 Binding free energy (ΔG), AutoDock Vina code

Ligands	Binding free energy (ΔG), kcal/mol
Rutin	-9.3
Liquiritin	-9.2

during nucleation and 83.46 ± 1.34 and $59.44 \pm 3.30\%$ during aggregation, respectively [38]. This inhibiting effect could be attributed to the abundance of bioactive molecules in these plants, e.g., polyphenols and flavonoids, which probably worked via their capacity to form soluble species to reduce the formation of oxalate stones.

The aqueous extract of *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. showed an antilithiasic effect with inhibition percentages of 60% at 1 mg/mL. Flavonoids exhibited an inhibitory effect on crystal growth by changing the crystal state and degree of hydration of calcium oxalate from the insoluble monohydrate form to poorly soluble dihydrate form and a decrease in numbers and sizes of aggregates [39]. Li *et al.* reported citrate as a potent inhibitor of the formation and crystallization of oxalate stones [40]. In their case, the presence of citric acid could modulate the water content of nucleated amorphous precipitates and hence promoted the formation of different calcium oxalate hydrates. Gallic acid altered the nucleation and the formation of calcium oxalate hydrates.

Khan *et al.* described the effect of *A. visnaga* seeds on kidney stones: the anti-calcination was mainly due to the strong diuretic activity and attenuation of hypersecretion and hyperbilirubinemia exerted by visnaga seeds [41].

Benalia *et al.* conducted an *in-vitro* study on dissolution of cystine stones using four traditional plant extracts and a saline control solution [42]. They subjected the calcinations to magnetic agitation for eight weeks. The plants examined were *Arenaria ammophila* L. (leaves and stems), *Parietaria officinalis* L. (leaves and flowers separately), and *Paronychia argentea* L. (flowers).

Interaction of xanthine dehydrogenase and phenolic compounds *in silico. Energy.* Rutin formed the most stable complex with xanthine dehydrogenase with a binding free energy (Δ G) of -9.3 kcal/mol, followed by liquiritin with -9.2 kcal/mol (Table 3).



Figure 7 Three-dimensional (a) and dimensional (b) visualization of interactions between the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase and rutin

Interaction of ligands and xanthine dehydrogenase. Interaction of rutin and xanthine dehydrogenase. A three-dimensional visualization of the interactions between the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase and rutin showed that the interaction involved lysine 95, proline 753, glutamate 89, glycine 588, glycine 38, and glutamate 589 (Fig. 7).

According to the visualization (Discovery Studio), rutin penetrated well into the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase by forming different Pi-cation, Pi-alkyl, hydrogen, Pi-anion, and hydrogen carbon interactions (Table 4).

Interaction of liquiritin and xanthine dehydrogenase. A three-dimensional visualization of the interactions

Table 4	Interactions	s between	rutin	and	xantl	nine
dehydro	ogenase					

Residue	Type of interaction	Distance (Å)
Lysine 95	Pi-cation	3.35
Proline 753	Pi-alkyl	5.50
Glutamate 89	Hydrogen	2.12
Glycine 588	Hydrogen	1.9
Glycine 38	Hydrogen	3.07
Glutamate 589	Carbon hydrogen bond	3.36



Figure 8 Three-dimensional (a) and dimensional (b) visualization of interactions between the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase and liquiritin

 Table 5 Interactions between liquiritin and xanthine dehydrogenase

Residue	Type of interaction	Distance (Å)
Glycine 38, 588	Hydrogen	2.96
Glycine 588	Hydrogen	1.76
Lysine 95	Pi-cation	3.81
Proline 753	Pi-alkyl	5.34
Glycine 35	Carbon hydrogen bond	3.71

between the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase and liquiritin showed that the interaction involved glycine 38, glycine 588, glycine 35, lysine 95, and proline 753 (Fig. 8).

According to the visualization (Discovery Studio), liquiritin penetrated well into the active site of xanthine dehydrogenase by forming different Pi-cation, Pi-alkyl, hydrogen, Pi-anion, and hydrogen carbon interactions (Table 5).

Reliability testing of the molecular docking program. *Root Mean Square Deviation*. To predict the inte
 Table 6 Root mean square deviation values of ligand interaction with xanthine dehydrogenase

Ligands	Root mean square deviation (Å)
Rutin	1.257
Liquiritin	1.839



Figure 9 Superposition of inhibitors by Pymol to calculate root mean square deviation: a – rutin, b – liquiritin

raction between the ligands and the enzyme, we determined the exact position of each ligand in relation to its receptor. The root mean square deviation program compared the model and the structure of the reference ligand (co-crystallized). A root mean square deviation of ≤ 2 Å was considered acceptable [43]. In this study, xanthine dehydrogenase was the enzyme complex under analysis (PDB: 1n5x). After extracting the co-crystallized ligand from the target and repositioning it through docking in the active site, we calculated the root mean square deviation of the best position of the reference ligand after docking and compared it to its crystallographic binding mode before docking. The root mean square deviation value of hydroxytyrosol in the software-generated model stayed below 2 Å (Table 6).

Visual analysis plays a crucial role in complementing the numerical root mean square deviation values. When we examined the selected complexes of xanthine dehydrogenase (PDB: 1n5x) and ligands using PyMOL, the ligands predicted by AutoDock-Vina aligned well with the reference ligand. The visual confirmation substantiated the previously obtained values for root mean square deviation (Fig. 9).

Xanthine oxidase forms through the oxidation and/or proteolytic conversion of xanthine dehydrogenase. This enzyme occurs vascular cells, circulates in plasma, and binds to the extracellular matrix of endothelial cells. It facilitates the metabolism of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrogen (NADH), molecular oxygen, hypoxanthine, and xanthine, resulting in the production of O and HO, which makes it a significant source of reactive oxygen species [44]. Inhibiting this enzyme can protect against diabetic kidney disease and endometrial hyperplasia by reducing oxidative stress and improving uterine-reduced glutathione and superoxide dismutase, as well as inhibiting the expression of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), Akt, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [45, 46]. Antioxidants, such as phenolic acids and flavonoids, can inhibit xanthine oxidase activity. The method was validated by attaching

the inhibitory ligand to xanthine oxidase, with root mean square deviation values ranging from 1.019 to 2.35 [45]. Cinnamon phenolic acid inhibited xanthine dehydrogenase and oxidase [47]. Serrano et al. studied the inhibition mechanisms of eight structurally diverse phenolic compounds in fruits, i.e., quercetin, quercetin-3-rhamnoside, 4,5-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and caffeic acid [48]. They used the methods of 1H NMR spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy, and computational techniques to prove that these biomolecules were potent inhibitors of the enzyme. Amraoui et al. studied hydroxytyrosol as major phenolic compounds in Olea europaea L. leaves and revealed an effective xanthine dehydrogenase inhibition in silico [49].

The abovementioned studies prove that inhibiting xanthine oxidase with phenolic compounds can help prevent various diseases.

CONCLUSION

The research demonstrated appreciable levels of total phenolic and flavonoid contents in the ethanolic extract of such apicultural products as bee pollen and propolis. These natural compounds could be recommended as functional supplements in the treatment of various diseases, as well as natural sources of antioxidants in foods. In our study, the ethanolic extract of propolis had notable inhibitory effects on the crystallization of calcium oxalate monohydrate. Based on the energy and root mean square deviations, the selected ligands were able to inhibit xanthine dehydrogenase. Bee pollen and propolis proved to contain components that can be used as a natural alternative to other methods of pharmaceutical prevention and treatment of kidney stones.

CONTRIBUTION

S. Ali Haimoud, A. Cheikh, S. Ghiboub, L. Ali Haimoud, K. Bahri, H. Benabed, B. Ayache, C. Beskri, and I. Boudali conceived and designed the analysis. S. Ali Haimoud, A. Cheikh, and S. Ghiboub collected the data. S. Ali Haimoud contributed data and analysis tools. L. Ali Haimoud, F. Arioui, and M. Medjekane performed the analysis. Ali Haimoud wrote the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests related to the publication of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to Mr. Benghalia Mhamed for his help in harvesting the behive by products.

REFERENCES

- de Bellis R, Piacentini MP, Meli MA, Mattioli M, Menotta M, Mari M, et al. In vitro effects on calcium oxalate crystallization kinetics and crystal morphology of an aqueous extract from *Ceterach officinarum*: Analysis of a potential antilithiatic mechanism. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(6):e0218734. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218734
- Adhikari A, Bhattacharya S, Bose S, Ray M, Indu R, Banerjee S. Prospective experimental studies of some herbs used in urinary disorders in Unani medicine. Advances in Traditional Medicine. 2023;23:753–775. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13596-022-00639-1
- 3. Bensatal A, Ouahrani M. Inhibition of crystallization of calcium oxalate by the extraction of *Tamarix gallica* L. Urological Research. 2008;36:283–287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00240-008-0157-1
- 4. Cunningham P, Noble H, Al-Modhefer A, Walsh I. Kidney stones: pathophysiology, diagnosis and management. British Journal of Nursing. 2016;25(20):1112–1116. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2016.25.20.1112
- Li X, Li B, Meng Y, Yang L, Wu G, Jing H, et al. Treatment of recurrent renal transplant lithiasis: analysis of our experience and review of the relevant literature. BMC Nephrology. 2020;21:238. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-020-01896-5
- Burits M, Bucar F. Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. Phytotherapy Research. 2000;14(5):323–328. https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1573(200008)14:5%3C323::AID-PTR621%3E3.0.CO;2-Q
- Veljković A, Hadži-Dokić J, Sokolović D, Bašić D, Veličković-Janković L, Stojanović M, et al. Xanthine oxidase/ dehydrogenase activity as a source of oxidative stress in prostate cancer tissue. Diagnostics. 2020;10(9):668. https:// doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics10090668
- Campos MGR, Frigerio C, Lopes J, Bogdanov S. What is the future of Bee-Pollen? Journal of ApiProduct ApiMedical Science. 2010;2(4):131–144. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.4.02.4.01
- Sime D, Atlabachew M, Abshiro MR, Zewde T. Total phenols and antioxidant activities of natural honeys and propolis collected from different geographical regions of Ethiopia. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Ethiopia. 2015;29(2):163–172. https://doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v29i2.1
- Afrouzan H, Tahghighi A, Zakeri S, Es-haghi A. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of Iranian propolis. Iranian Biomedical Journal. 2018;22(1):50–65. https://doi.org/10.22034/ibj.22.1.50
- 11. Eroglu N, Akkus S, Yaman M, Asci B, Silici S. Amino acid and vitamin content of propolis collected by native Caucasican honey bees. Journal of Apicultural Science.2016;60(2):101–110. https://doi.org/10.1515/jas-2016-0021

- Ahn M-R, Kumazawa S, Hamasaka T, Bang K-S, Nakayama T. Antioxidant activity and constituents of propolis collected in various areas of Korea. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2004;52(24):7286–7292. https:// doi.org/10.1021/jf048726s
- Falleh H, Ksouri R, Chaieb K, Karray-Bouraoui N, Trabelsi N, Boulaaba M, et al. Phenolic composition of Cynara cardunculus L. organs, and their biological activities. Comptes Rendus Biologies. 2008;331(5):372–379. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.crvi.2008.02.008
- 14. Kamazawa S, Tanguchi M, Suzuki Y, Shimara M, Kwon M-S, Nakayama T. Antioxidant activity of polyphenols in carob pods. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2002;50(2):373–377. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010938r
- 15. Biglari F, Alkarkhi AFM, Easa AM. Antioxidant activity and phenolic content of various date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) fruits from Iran. Food Chemistry. 2008;107(4):1636–1641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.10.033
- 16. Mikami I, Yamaguchi M, Shinmoto H, Tsushida T. Development and validation of a microplate-based β-carotene bleaching assay and comparison of antioxidant activity (AOA) in several crops measured by β-carotene bleaching, DPPH and ORAC assays. Food Science and Technology Research. 2009;15(2):171–178. https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.15.171
- 17. Okada Y, Okada M. Scavening effect of water soluble proteins in broad beans on free radicals and active oxygen species. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1998;46(2):401–406. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9704701
- Elhadri I, Baddade L, Berkani M. Antioxidant activity and Inhibitory effects of *Cydonia oblonga Miller*. leaves extracts against calcium oxalate stones. Urolithiasis. 2024;52:62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00240-024-01532-z
- Nieva Moreno MI, Isla MI, Sampietro AR, Vattuone MA. Comparison of the free radical-scavenging activity of propolis from several regions of Argentine. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2000;71(1–2):109–114. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0378-8741(99)00189-0
- Moreira L, Dias LG, Pereira JA, Estevinho L. Antioxidant properties, total phenols and pollen analysis of propolis samples from Portugal. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2008;46(11):3482–3485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2008.08.025
- 21. Mohammadzadeh S, Sharriatpanahi M, Hamedi M, Amanzadeh Y, Ebrahimi SES, Ostad SN. Antioxydant power of Iranian extract. Food Chemistry. 2007;103(3):729–733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.09.014
- 22. Asem N, Gapar NAA, Hapit NNA, Omar EA. Correlation between total phenolic and flavonoid contents with antioxidant activity of Malaysian stingless bee propolis extract. Journal of Apicultural Research. 2020;59(4):437–442. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2019.1684050
- 23. Carpes ST, Mourao GB, de Alencar SM, Masson ML. Chemical composition and free radical scavenging activity of Apis mellifera bee pollen from Southern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Food Technology. 2009;12(3):220–229. https:// doi.org/10.4260/BJFT2009800900016
- 24. Ahn M-R, Kumazawa S, Usu Y, Nakamura J, Matsuka M, Zhu F, et al. Antioxidant activity and constituents of propolis collected in various areas of China. Food Chemistry. 2007;101(4):1383–1392. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.foodchem.2006.03.045
- 25. Ulusoy E, Kolayli S. Phenolic composition and antioxidant properties of Anzer bee pollen. Journal of Food Biochemistry. 2020;38(1):73-82. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12027
- 26. Miorin PL, Levy Junior NC, Custodio AR, Bretz WA, Marcucci MC. Antibacterial activity of honey and propolis from *Apis mellifera* and *Tetragonisca angustula* against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2003;95(5):913–920. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02050.x
- 27. Christov R, Trusheva B, Popova M, Bankova V, Bertrand M. Chemical composition of propolis from Canada, its antiradical activity and plant origin. Natural Product Research. 2006;20(6):531–536. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786410500056918
- Popova M, Silici S, Kaftanoglu O, Bankova V. Antibacterial activity of Turkish propolis and its qualitative and quantitative chemical composition. Phytomedcine. 2005;12(3):221–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2003.09.007
- 29. Shi H, Yang H, Zhang X, Yu L. Identification and quantification of phytochemical composition and anti-inflammatory and radical scavenging properties of methanolic extracts of Chinese propolis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2012;60(50):12403–12410. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3042775
- Rzepecka-Stojko A, Stojko J, Kurek-Gorecka A, Górecki M, Kabała-Dzik A, Kubina R, et al. Polyphenols from bee pollen: structure, absorption, metabolism and biological activity. Molecules. 2015;20(12):21732–21749. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/molecules201219800
- Daugsch A, Moraes CS, Fort P, Par YK. Brazilian red propolis Chemical composition and botanical origin. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2008;5(4):435–441. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/nem057
- 32. Salatino A, Salatino MLF. Brazilian red propolis: Legitimate name of the plant resin source. MOJ Food Processing and Technology. 2018;6(1):21–22. https://doi.org/10.15406/mojfpt.2018.06.00139

- 33. Mohdaly AAA, Mhmoud AA, Roby MHH, Smetanska IS, Ramadan MF. Phenolic extract from propolis and bee pollen: composition, antioxidant and antibacterial activities. Journal of Food Biochemistry. 2015;39(5):538–547. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12160
- 34. Zhang C, Shen X, Chen J, Jiang X, Hu F. Identification of free radical scavengers from Brazilian green propolis using off-line HPLC-DPPH assay and LC-MS. Journal of Food Science. 2017;82(7):1602–1607. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1750-3841.13730
- Gulcin I, Bursal E, Şehitoglu MH, Bilsel M, Gorend AC. Polyphenol contents and antioxidant activity of lyophilized aqueous extract of propolis from Erzurum, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(8–9):2227–2238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.05.053
- 36. Khider M, Elbanna K, Mahmoud A, Owayss AA. Egyptian honeybee pollen as antimicrobial, antioxidant agents, and dietary food supplements. Food Science and Biotechnology. 2013;22:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-013-0238-y
- 37. Boeing JS, Barizão ÉO, e Silva BC, Montanher PF, Almeida V, Visentainer JV. Evaluation of solvent effect on the extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacities from the berries: application of principal component analysis. Chemistry Central Journal. 2014;8:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-014-0048-1
- 38. Kachkoul R, Sqalli Houssaini T, Miyah Y, Mohim M, El Habbani R, Lahrichi A. The study of the inhibitory effect of calcium oxalate monohydrate's crystallization by two medicinal and aromatic plants: *Ammi visnaga* and *Punica* granatum. Progress in Urology. 2018;28(3):156–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.purol.2017.12.003
- 39. Sekkoum K, Cheriti A, Taleb S, Belboukhari N, Djellouli HM. Inhibition effect of some Algerian Sahara medicinal plants on calcium oxalate crystallization. Asian Journal of Chemistry. 2012;22:2891–2897.
- 40. Li S, Tang W, Li M, Wang L, Yang Y, Gong G. Understanding the role of citric acid on the crystallization pathways of calcium oxalate hydrates. Crystal Growth and Design. 2019;19(6):3139–3147. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.cgd.8b01305
- 41. Khan ZA, Assiri AM, Al-Afghani HMA, Magharbi TMA. Inhibition of oxalate nephrolithiasis with Ammi visnaga (Ai-Khillah). International Urology and Nephrology. 2001;33:605–608. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1020526517097
- 42. Benalia H, Mahfoudi R, Djeridane A, Yousfi M. High antiurolithiatic capacities of isolated fractions of the hydromethanolic extract from Pituranthos scoparius roots. Phytothérapie, 2020;18(6):386–398.
- 43. Li S, Tang W, Shi P, Li M, Sun J, Gong J. A new perspective of gallic acid on calcium oxalate nucleation. Crystal Growth and Design. 2020;20(5):3173–3181. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.cgd.0c00044
- 44. Yang K-J, Choi WJ, Chang Y-K, Park CW, Kim SY, Hong YA. Inhibition of xanthine oxidase protects against diabetic kidney disease through the amelioration of oxidative stress via VEGF/VEGFR axis and NOX-FoxO3a-eNOS signaling pathway. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023;24(4):3807. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043807
- 45. Mohamed MZ, Baky ME, Hassan OA, Mohammed HH, Abdel-Aziz AM. PTEN/PI3K/VEGF signaling pathway involved in the protective effect of xanthine oxidase inhibitor febuxostat against endometrial hyperplasia in rats. Human and Experimental Toxicology. 2020;39(9):1224–1234. https://doi.org/10.1177/0960327120914977
- 46. Ngoc TM, Khoi NM, Ha DT, Nhiem NX, Tai BH, Don DV, et al. Xanthine oxidase inhibitory activity of constituents of *Cinnamomum cassia* twigs. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters. 2012;22(14):4625–4628. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.05.051
- 47. Hendriani R, Nursamsiar N, Tjitraresmi A. *In vitro* and *in silico* evaluation of xanthine oxidase inhibitory activity of quercetin contained in *Sonchus arvensis* leaf extract. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2017;10(14):50–53. https://doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10s2.19486
- 48. Serrano JL, Figueiredo J, Almeida P, Silvestre, S. From xanthine oxidase inhibition to *in vivo* hypouricemic effect: an integrated overview of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies with focus on natural molecules and analogues. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2020;2020(1):9531725. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9531725
- 49. Amraoui A, Djerrou Z, Ali Haimoud S, Zerouki K, Elmokli S. Antihyperlipidemic and antioxidant potential of *Olea europaea* L. leaves: An experimental study *in vivo, in vitro* and *in silico*. Foods and Raw Materials. 2025;13(1):35–45. https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2025-1-621

ORCID IDs

Safia Ali Haimoud Thttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-6693-7942 Fatiha Arioui Thttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-3160-5550 Meriem Medjekane Thttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7805-6499 Leila Ali Haimoud Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0004-2819-9178 Khelifa Bahri Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0003-0873-8632 Asma Cheikh Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0004-0022-6322 Saadia Ghiboub Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0008-3658-4948 Hanane Benabed Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0008-1662-5765 Ikram Boudali Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0008-731-6894 Besma Ayache Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0008-7483-6069 Chaima Beskri Thttps://orcid.org/0009-0008-6906-5490