Antioxidant and anti-diabetic activity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) leaves extracts
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Abstract:

**Introduction.** This study aimed to evaluate the antioxidant and anti-diabetic activity of aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) leaves in vitro, as well as to determine the content of polyphenols, flavonoids, and flavonols.

**Study objects and methods.** The antioxidant activity was determined by the DPPH test using the free radical 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyle and the FRAP method, as well as by measuring total antioxidant capacity and the hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity.

**Results and discussion.** The content of total polyphenols varied between 4.43 ± 0.3 and 12.66 ± 1.6 mg EAG/g. The highest content of flavonoids was observed in the hydroalcoholic extract of *P. granatum* leaves (*P* < 0.05). The flavonol contents in the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts were 7.68 ± 0.6 and 9.20 ± 2.8 mg EQ/g, respectively. The IC50 of the antioxidant potential of the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts was 32.4 ± 1.109 and 35.12 ± 4.107 mg/mL, respectively. According to the DPPH test, the aqueous extract was the least active (IC50 = 14.15 ± 1.513 mg/mL). The highest percentage of hydrogen peroxide trapping was found in the aqueous extract (45.97 ± 6.608%). The inhibition of α-amylase showed an IC50 of between 9.804 ± 0.67 and 19.011 ± 9.82 mg/mL in the aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts, respectively. The inhibition of glucose uptake by yeast recorded a high inhibitory capacity at 50 mg/mL of glucose.

**Conclusion.** We found that the antioxidant and anti-diabetic activity of *P. granatum* leaves extracts was due to the presence of bioactive compounds such as flavonoids, which is why they are effective in preventing diabetes and its complications.
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Vinodhini et al. reported that the aqueous extract of pomegranate leaves had the greatest antioxidant activity and contained significant levels of total phenols and flavonoids [3]. The leaf extracts showed antioxidant activity in vivo by protecting yeast cells against oxidative stressing agent H₂O₂. The authors found pomegranate a good source of natural compounds with health benefits, which makes it possible to use it in diets to reduce oxidative stress.

In the study by Bekir et al., the methanolic extract of pomegranate leaves displayed high antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cholinesterase, and antiproliferative activities [4]. These results showed that pomegranate leaves could be a potential source of active molecules intended for applications in pharmaceutical industry.

The aim of the study was to evaluate the antioxidant and anti-diabetic activity of aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts of pomegranate leaves in vitro. 

STUDY OBJECTS AND METHODS

The pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) leaves were collected in September 2017 in Chlef, Algeria. The collected samples were dried at room temperature away from sunlight and then powdered using an electric mortar.

Preparation of aqueous extract. The aqueous extract of pomegranate leaves was prepared according to the method described by Diallo et al., with some modifications [5]. 15 g of powdered leaves in 150 mL of boiling water was heated for 15 min and filtered through filter paper. The filtrate was placed in an oven at 40°C until obtaining a dry extract and stored at 4°C.

Preparation of hydroalcoholic extract. The hydroalcoholic extract of pomegranate leaves was prepared by maceration of 15 g of powdered leaves in 100 mL of a hydroalcoholic solution (70%) at room temperature away from light, with maximum agitation for 72 h [6]. Then the mixture was filtered through filter paper. The filtrates were placed in an oven at 40°C. The dry extract was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C.

Total polyphenols were determined spectrophotometrically following the Folin-Ciocalteu method [7]. For this, 0.2 mL of each leaf extract was mixed in a test tube with 1.0 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 0.8 mL of a 7.5% sodium carbonate solution (Na₂CO₃). After incubation in the shade and at room temperature for 30 min, absorbance was measured at 760 nm. The results were expressed in milligram equivalent of gallic acid per gram of extract (mg Eq/g) from a calibration curve prepared using gallic acid as a standard.

Flavonoid levels were measured using the method described by Mbaebie et al. [8]. For this, 1.0 mL of each extract was added to 1.0 mL of a 2% ethanol solution of aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) and then incubated for an hour at room temperature. Absorbance was measured by a UV-visible spectrophotometer at 420 nm. The concentrations of flavonoids in the extracts were calculated from the calibration curve and expressed in milligram equivalent of quercetin per gram of extract (mg Eq/g).

Flavonols were determined according to the method described by Kosalec et al. [9]. For this, 0.3 mL of the extract was mixed with 0.3 mL of aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) and 0.45 mL of sodium acetate. The mixture was vigorously stirred and then incubated for 40 min. Absorbance was measured at 440 nm. The quantification of flavonols was based on a calibration curve made by quercetin. The content of flavonols was expressed in milligram equivalent of quercetin per gram of extract (mg Eq/g).

Total antioxidant capacity. Determination of total antioxidant capacity is a technique based on the reduction of molybdate Mo (VI) to molybdenum Mo (V) in the presence of an antioxidant with the formation of a green complex (phosphate/Mo (V)) at acidic pH [10]. The phosphomolybdate reagent was prepared from a mixture of 0.6 M sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), 28 mM sodium phosphate (Na₃PO₄), and 4 mM ammonium molybdate ((NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ • 4H₂O). 1.0 mL of this reagent was added to 100 µL of each extract with concentrations of 10, 25, 50 and 100 mg/mL. The tubes were incubated at 95°C for 90 min. After cooling, absorbance was measured at 695 nm. Total antioxidant capacity was expressed in milligrams of ascorbic acid equivalent per gram of extract (mg Eq AA/g extract) from a calibration curve of ascorbic acid.

Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP). The FRAP method involves measuring the ability of a sample to reduce the tripyridyltriazine ferric complex to tripyridyltriazine at a low pH. This ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex has an intense blue color measured by a spectrophotometer at 593 nm [11]. The FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing a 500 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 3.6), a solution of 10 mM TPTZ in 40 mM HCl and 20 mM FeCl₃ in a ratio of 10:1:1 (v/v/v). 200 µL of each extract (10, 25, 50 and 100 mg/mL) was added to 3 mL of the FRAP reagent. After incubation in the dark at 37°C for 30 min, absorbance was measured at 593 nm against the blank [11].

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity. The scavenging capacity of hydrogen peroxide is based on the reduction of the H₂O₂ concentration by scavenger compounds, the absorbance value of the latter at 230 nm also reduces [12]. A 40 mM hydrogen peroxide solution was prepared in a 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). 4.0 mL of each extract with a concentration of 10 mg/mL was mixed with 0.6 mL of the H₂O₂ solution. After 10 min incubation, absorbance was measured at 230 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control [13]. The percent inhibition was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Percent inhibition (\%)} = \frac{[(A \text{ control} – A \text{ sample}) / A \text{ control}]}{100}
\]

where A is absorbance of the control and experimental samples.


**DPPH test.** To prepare a 0.004% solution of DPPH, 250 μL of extracts at concentrations of 10, 25, 50, and 100 mg/mL or standard (ascorbic acid) was added to 1 mL of the DPPH solution. After incubation in the dark at room temperature for 30 min, absorbance was measured at 517 nm against a blank sample that contained pure methanol [14]. The antioxidative activity evaluated with the DPPH method was expressed in percentage according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{antioxidant activity} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

(2)

where A is absorbance of the control and experimental samples.

Inhibition test of α-amylase enzymatic activity.

The inhibition test of α-amylase enzymatic activity followed the method of Daksha et al. [15]. For this, two solutions had been prepared, namely a 1% starch stock solution and a 1% amylase solution in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.2, both solutions preserved at 4°C. The reaction mixture contained 2.0 mL of a phosphate buffer, 1.0 mL of each extracts (aqueous and hydroalcoholic) at concentrations of 10, 25, 50, and 100 mg/mL, 1 mL of amylase, and 1 mL of starch. The mixture was incubated for an hour. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.1 mL of the iodide indicator. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Absorbance was measured at 565 nm.

The inhibitory activity of each extract was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\% \text{inhibition activity} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

(3)

where A is absorbance of the control and experimental samples.

To determine effects of the extracts on glucose uptake by yeast, we prepared yeast cells according to the method described in [16]. 1 g of commercial baker’s yeast was washed by centrifugation (4200 rpm, 5 min) in 5 mL of distilled water until the supernatant liquid was clear. Then a 10% suspension (v/v) was prepared in distilled water. Different concentrations of plant extracts (10 to 100 mg/mL) were added to 1 mL of glucose solution (10, 25 and 50 mg/mL) and incubated together for 15 min at 37°C. Then, 100 μL of the yeast suspension was added, followed by a vortex and a new incubation at 37°C for 60 min. After one hour, the tubes were centrifuged (2500 rpm, 5 min) and glucose was estimated in the supernatant by the iodine reagent [17]. Metformin was taken as a standard antidiabetic drug. Absorbance was measured at 540 nm against a blank sample that contained pure methanol [14]. The percentage inhibition in glucose uptake by yeast cells was calculated using the following formula [18]:

\[
\% \text{inhibition of glucose uptake} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{control}}}{A_{\text{sample}}} \right) \times 100
\]

(5)

where A is absorbance of the control and experimental samples.

The data presented in our study were analyzed using XL Stat Pro 7.5 statistical software. The experiments were performed in triplicate. The results were presented as mean values and a standard deviation. ANOVA test was conducted to determine any significance differences. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 demonstrates total phenolic, flavonoid and flavanol contents of the pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) extracts. The hydroalcoholic extract showed a significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) higher content of total phenolic compounds compared to the aqueous extract, with values of 12.66 ± 0.10 and 4.43 ± 0.01 mg EAG/g extract, respectively (Table 1). These results were not consistent with those found by Sinha et al., namely 9.85 ± 0.82 and 14.78 ± 2.10 mg EAG/g extract for the pomegranate aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts, respectively [19].

The hydroalcoholic extract showed a significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) higher content of flavonoids than the aqueous extract (24.78 ± 1.59 and 8.76 ± 0.90 mg EQ/g, respectively). These results were closer to those reported by [19], namely 12.7 ± 0.23 and 26.08 ± 1.24 mg EQ/g for the aqueous and methanolic extracts, respectively. According to quantitative analyses, pomegranate leaves contained a higher amount of flavonoids compared to phenolic compounds. These results were confirmed by [19], where pomegranate leaf extracts showed a lower content of total polyphenols and a higher content of flavonoids compared to pomegranate bark, flower, and seed extracts.

Our results indicated that the aqueous extract was richer in flavonols compared to the hydro-alcoholic extract; with contents of 9.20 ± 2.80 and 7.68 ± 0.60 mg EQ/g of extract, respectively (Table 1). The statistical analyses did not show any significant difference between the two extracts \( (P > 0.05) \).

Table 2 shows the antioxidant capacity of the pomegranate extracts. The aqueous extract of pomegranate leaves had a significantly higher \( (P < 0.05) \) total antioxidant capacity with an \( IC_{50} \) value of 12.404 ± 0.136 mg/mL, while the hydroalcoholic extract showed a significantly lower \( (P > 0.05) \) antioxidant capacity with an \( IC_{50} \) of 18.719 ± 1.001 mg/mL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Total phenolic, flavonoid and flavanol contents of <em>Punica granatum</em> L. extracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extract</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroalcoholic extract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with different lowercase letters mean they are significantly different \( (P < 0.05) \) (a > b > c)
In a study of three local varieties of *Piper betle* leaves by Dasgupta et al., the Kauri variety showed the highest total antioxidant capacity expressed in milligrams of ascorbic acid equivalent per milligram of extract [20].

According to the FRAP test results, the antioxidant potential of iron was almost the same for both hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts, with IC$_{50}$ of 32.4 ± 1.109 and 35.12 ± 4.107 mg/mL, respectively (Table 2).

While there were no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) between the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts, there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the extracts and ascorbic acid, which showed a reducing power with an IC$_{50}$ of 55.531 ± 1.133 mg/mL.

These results were not consistent with those recorded by [19], namely IC$_{50}$ of 348.68 ± 24.69 and 293.63 ± 15.29 mg/mL for the aqueous and methanolic extracts of pomegranate leaves, respectively.

The percentage of hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts was 43.57 ± 10.145% and 45.97 ± 6.608%, respectively. There was no significant difference between the extracts ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2).

Compared to the extracts, ascorbic acid showed a significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) percentage, namely 85.663 ± 5.024%.

According to the DPPH test results, the hydroalcoholic extract was significantly the most potent extract ($P < 0.05$) with an IC$_{50}$ of 9.40 ± 1.586 mg/mL, followed by the aqueous extract with an IC$_{50}$ of 14.15 ± 1.513 mg/mL (Table 2).

These results were in agreement with the data reported by Bekiretal, where the methanolic extract of pomegranate leaves showed a greater antioxidant activity than the ethanolic extract, with an IC$_{50}$ of 5.62 ± 0.23 mg/L and 9.25 ± 0.72 mg/L, respectively [4]. The study also revealed comparable antioxidant activity between the methanolic extract and quercetin (2.86 ± 0.09 mg/L). The dichloromethane extract showed lower antioxidant activity (IC$_{50}$ = 71.57 ± 3.65 mg/L). However, the extract obtained with hexane had the lowest DPPH activity with an IC$_{50}$ value of 263.44 ± 12.72 mg/L.

According to Fig. 1, the aqueous extract showed an α-amylase inhibitory concentration of 9.804 ± 0.67 mg/mL. This value was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) than that for acarbose and hydroalcoholic extracts, with IC$_{50}$ values of 17.179 ± 4.26 and 19.011 ± 9.82 mg/mL, respectively. On the other hand, there was no significant difference between the IC$_{50}$ of acarbose and the IC$_{50}$ of the hydroalcoholic extract ($P > 0.05$).

These inhibition results were not in agreement with those found by Kam et al., who recorded IC$_{50}$ inhibitory concentrations of 0.19 and 0.65 mg/mL for aqueous and alcoholic extracts of pomegranate, respectively [21].

This inhibitory power can be explained by the fact that the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts have compounds that bear functional groups close to those of the substrate (starch), which occupies the active site of the enzyme.

Figure 2 demonstrates the inhibition of glucose uptake by yeast. At a concentration of 10 mg/mL of glucose, metformin showed a significant difference from the extracts ($P < 0.05$), with an IC$_{50}$ of 5.442 ± 0.047 mg/mL. However, we found no significant

### Table 2 Antioxidant activity of pomegranate extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Total antioxidant capacity, mg/mL</th>
<th>FRAP, mg/mL</th>
<th>Hydrogen peroxide scavenging, %</th>
<th>DPPH, mg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>12.404 ± 0.136e</td>
<td>35.12 ± 4.107a</td>
<td>45.97 ± 6.608b</td>
<td>14.15 ± 1.513c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroalcoholic</td>
<td>18.719 ± 1.001h</td>
<td>32.4 ± 1.109e</td>
<td>43.57 ± 10.145e</td>
<td>9.40 ± 1.586e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>55.531 ± 1.133n</td>
<td>85.663 ± 5.024b</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.012a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with different lowercase letters mean they are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) (a < b < c)
difference between the hydroalcoholic and aqueous extracts \( (P > 0.05) \), with \( IC_{50} \) values of 7.267 ± 0.644 and 6.975 ± 0.394 mg/mL, respectively.

At a concentration of 25 mg/mL of glucose, there was no significant difference \( (P > 0.05) \) between the aqueous extract, metformin, and hydro-alcoholic extract, with \( IC_{50} \) values of 7.297 ± 0.76, 5.353 ± 0.11, and 8.509 ± 2.94 mg/mL, respectively (Fig. 2).

At a concentration of 50 mg/mL of glucose, there was a significant difference between metformin and the extracts \( (P < 0.05) \) and no significant difference \( (P > 0.05) \) between the extracts. The \( IC_{50} \) values of metformin, aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts were 5.499 ± 0.073, 8.379 ± 2.4, and 8.937 ± 2.892 mg/mL, respectively (Fig. 2).

Based on these results, metformin showed a higher inhibition capacity than the aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts.

According to the results, the antioxidant property varied according to the extraction solvent. The antioxidant properties of plant extracts can be explained by various factors: the presence of natural ascorbic antioxidant properties of plant extracts can be explained by their ability to chelate ionic metals \([24, 25]\). These compounds not only inhibit free radicals, but also neutralize oxidative enzymes and chelate metal ions responsible for the production of reactive oxygen species \([32]\).

As for tannins, they are defined as sources of plant origin because they can precipitate proteins, inhibit digestive enzymes, and decrease the use of vitamins and minerals. On the other hand, tannins are also considered as “health promoting” components in plant-derived foods and beverages. For example, tannins have been reported to have anti-carcinogenic and antimutagenic potential, as well as antimicrobial properties.

The antioxidant activity of pomegranate leaves is due to their richness in phenolic compounds (tannins, flavones, glucosides). In fact, the work by Kang et al. suggested that polar polyphenolic molecules present in the plant’s extract contributed to the increase in antiradical activity \([33]\).

As for anti-diabetic activity, Patel et al. reported that pomegranate extract regulates post-ponderal glucose by its inhibitory effect on \( \alpha \)-amylase \([34]\).

Flavonoids have a high nutritional value because they are part of our usual diet, which could be explained by their rapid metabolism, elimination, and relatively low bioavailability \([35]\).

The reaction mechanisms of \( \alpha \)-amylase enzyme inhibition remain unclear. However, flavonoids in foods can interact with starch and react with nitrous acid derived from the oral cavity in the stomach before being transported to the intestine \([36]\). This review mainly deals with: (a) the inhibition of \( \alpha \)-amylase activity by flavonoids, suggesting the mechanisms of inhibition, and (b) the suppression of starch digestion by flavonoids by forming starch-flavonoid complexes through hydrophobic interactions.

The inhibition potential for flavonoids and tannins is correlated with the number of hydroxyl groups in their B cycles. These compounds inhibit \( \alpha \)-amylase by forming hydrogen bonds between their hydroxyl groups and the residues of the active site of this enzyme. Flavonoids or flavonoid-rich foods can reduce the risk of diabetes by modulating glucose uptake and insulin secretion \([37]\).

The transport of glucose through the yeast cell membrane occurs by facilitated diffusion, a passive mechanism without energy input. Glucose transport is continued if intracellular glucose is effectively reduced or used \([38]\).

Scientific evidence shows that apical or luminal GLUT 2, facilitating the intestinal transport of glucose, is the major route of glucose uptake and thus an attractive target for some plant-based inhibitory agents \([39]\).

Caylystegine, a compound found in the pomegranate, exerts an antidiabetic effect by acting on the absorption of glucose by a competitive mechanism because of their structural analogy with glucose \([40]\).

**CONCLUSION**

Our study demonstrated that pomegranate (\textit{Punica granatum} L.) leaf extracts are rich in phenolic compounds which play a very important role in the scavenging of free radicals, it makes a significant contribution to the justification of the antioxidant and
anti-diabetic activity. It gives the extracts a power to protect the body against stress and manifestations linked to diabetes. The hydroalcoholic leaves extract was effective in preventing diabetes due to its high flavonoid. Therefore, there is a need for further in vivo studies to better understand the mechanism of their action.
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